

OPEN ACCESS

Vision Sciences Society Annual Meeting Abstract | September 2018

Learning Complex Texture Discrimination

[Jessica Herrington](#); [Ted Maddess](#); [Dominique Coy](#); [Corinne Carle](#); [Faran Sabeti](#); [Marconi Barbosa](#)

— Author Affiliations

Jessica Herrington

Eccles Institute for Neuroscience, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University

Ted Maddess

Eccles Institute for Neuroscience, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University

Dominique Coy

Eccles Institute for Neuroscience, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University

Corinne Carle

Eccles Institute for Neuroscience, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University

Faran Sabeti

Eccles Institute for Neuroscience, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University

Marconi Barbosa

Eccles Institute for Neuroscience, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University

Journal of Vision September 2018, Vol.18, 260. doi:10.1167/18.10.260

Abstract

Different isotrigran texture types are only discriminable from random binary patterns and each other by their third and higher-order spatial correlations. Their mean contrast and spatial frequency content is identical to random noise. Our ability to make these discriminations has been proposed to be innate. We previously investigated learning of 17 isotrigran types in seven naïve subjects, where each type was tested in 14 sessions over 6 weeks. Significant learning was observed. Here we examined if 7 learning sessions conducted every 30 minutes on one day achieved similar learning. We also tested participants at a recall session, 2.5 months later. We used 11 naïve subjects with normal vision. We examined discrimination from random patterns of a subset of 5 of the original texture types, with 16 4AFC repeats/texture/session ($5 \times 11 \times 8 \times 16 = 7040$ discriminations). Learning was similar to that achieved in the 6-week sessions. Two of the textures showed significant learning with mean discrimination improvement in probability of correct discrimination of 0.125 ± 0.058 to 0.244 ± 0.089 ($p = 0.03$ and 0.01). The textures that showed significant learning were the Cross-Even and Wolf-Odd type. However, both of these textures showed a reduction in learning at the final recall

This session is open access. By default, the number of discriminations, rather than the duration of the session, is the key factor. [View the full abstract on the journal website.](#) | [Accept](#)

learning period is the key factor in learning differences in texture appearance based upon higher order spatial correlations. Initial performance was not chance so there appears to be some innate ability in naïve subjects.

Meeting abstract presented at VSS 2018

© 2018 ARVO

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

