



**Sex chromosome microsatellite markers from an
Australian marsupial:
development, application and evolution**

Anna Jayne MacDonald
Bachelor of Science (Honours)

Institute for Applied Ecology
Division of Health, Design and Science
University of Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Canberra.

February 2008

Form B

Certificate of Authorship of Thesis

Except where clearly acknowledged in footnotes, quotations and the bibliography, I certify that I am the sole author of the thesis submitted today entitled –

**Sex chromosome microsatellite markers from an Australian marsupial:
development, application and evolution**

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis. The material in the thesis has not been the basis of an award of any other degree or diploma except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis. The thesis complies with University requirements for a thesis as set out in

<http://www.canberra.edu.au/secretariat/goldbook/forms/thesisrqmt.pdf>

.....
Signature of candidate

.....
Signature of chair of the supervisory panel

.....
Date

Copyright

This thesis (© by Anna J. MacDonald, 2008) may be freely copied or distributed for private and/or commercial use and study. However, no part of this thesis or the information herein may be included in a publication or referred to in a publication without the written consent of Anna J. MacDonald. Any reference to this work must be fully acknowledged.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	6
List of Tables	7
Abstract	9
Acknowledgements	11
Publications associated with this thesis	14
1 Introduction	15
Microsatellite DNA	15
Mutational mechanisms at microsatellite DNA	16
Models of microsatellite mutation	17
Evidence for a complex mutation process	20
Investigating microsatellite mutations in a model marsupial	22
Thesis scope and aims	27
2 Y chromosome microsatellite markers identified from the tammar wallaby (<i>Macropus eugenii</i>) and their amplification in three other macropod species	28
Abstract	28
Microsatellite development	29
3 Chromosome-specific microsatellites from the tammar wallaby X	
Chromosome and chromosome 2	34
Abstract	34
Microsatellite development	35
4 Microsatellite evolution in marsupial sex chromosomes: which model fits?	40
Abstract	40
Introduction	41
Materials and Methods	44
<i>Sampling and DNA extractions</i>	44
<i>Microsatellite loci</i>	45
<i>Microsatellite genotyping</i>	46

<i>Population genetic analyses</i>	49
<i>Allelic richness</i>	50
<i>Mutation model</i>	51
<i>Male-biased mutation</i>	52
Results	53
<i>General microsatellite characteristics</i>	53
<i>Allelic richness</i>	56
<i>Mutation model</i>	65
<i>Male-biased mutation</i>	67
Discussion	67
Conclusion	72
5 Characterising microsatellite mutations using small-pool PCR	74
Abstract	74
Introduction	75
Materials and Methods	79
<i>Method outline</i>	79
<i>DNA extraction, quantitation and dilution</i>	79
<i>PCR conditions</i>	82
<i>Reconstruction experiments and SP-PCR from sperm DNA</i>	83
<i>Analysis of genotyping results: reconstruction experiments</i>	85
<i>Analysis of genotyping results: small-pool PCR from sperm</i>	87
Results	90
<i>PCR efficiency and allelic drop-out</i>	90
<i>Microsatellite stutter peaks</i>	93
<i>Reconstruction experiments</i>	97
<i>PCR artefacts and false alleles</i>	98
<i>SP-PCR and identification of microsatellite mutations in sperm DNA</i>	100
Discussion	105
<i>PCR success from small pools of DNA</i>	105
<i>Recommendations for microsatellite genotyping studies</i>	107
<i>Mutation detection using SP-PCR</i>	109
Conclusion	112

6 Sex chromosome microsatellites: new tools for macropod population ecology	113
Abstract	113
Introduction	114
Microsatellite Markers	115
Sex Chromosome Microsatellites	117
<i>Sex chromosome microsatellites in the tammar wallaby</i>	119
Sex Chromosome Microsatellites in Population Ecology	120
<i>Population origins and history</i>	120
<i>Sex-biased dispersal</i>	121
<i>Hybridisation and admixture</i>	122
<i>Mating systems and kinship</i>	124
Summary	128
7 Synopsis	129
Conclusions on microsatellite mutation processes	129
Implications of this work for the analysis of microsatellite data	130
Implications of this work for macropod population genetics	133
Final comments and future research	133
Literature cited	135

List of Figures

Figure 1.1:	Potential mutational mechanisms acting on microsatellite DNA	18
Figure 1.2:	Locations of tammar wallaby populations discussed in the Introduction	26
Figure 4.1:	Regression of allelic richness against the length of the longest repeat stretch at 29 tammar wallaby microsatellite loci in samples from Kangaroo Island and Garden Island	63
Figure 4.2:	Regressions of allelic richness against the length of the longest microsatellite repeat stretch at loci from the tammar wallaby chromosome 2, X chromosome and Y chromosome: (a) Kangaroo Island population, (b) Garden Island population	64
Figure 4.3:	Regressions of gene diversity and θ against the observed allele excess at tammar wallaby microsatellite loci, relative to the predictions of the stepwise mutation model and the infinite allele model	66
Figure 5.1:	Overview of methods used in this SP-PCR study; ‘ge’ refers to haploid genome equivalents	80
Figure 5.2:	Guidelines for scoring microsatellite mutations following small-pool PCR from sperm DNA	88
Figure 5.3:	The proportion of PCRs with the correct genotype increases with the amount of template DNA added per reaction	94
Figure 5.4:	Examples of CEQ traces displaying locus-specific artefacts in PCRs from locus Me2-088	99
Figure 6.1:	A hypothetical dinucleotide $[GA]_n$ microsatellite	118
Figure 6.2:	The use of X chromosome haplotypes to investigate admixture between different lineages	127

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Key assumptions of a selection of mutation models commonly applied in the interpretation of microsatellite data	21
Table 2.1: Microsatellites developed from three BAC clones from the tammar wallaby Y chromosome	32
Table 2.2: Amplification of tammar wallaby Y chromosome microsatellites in three species of macropod	33
Table 3.1: Microsatellites developed from BAC clones from the tammar wallaby X chromosome and chromosome 2	37
Table 3.2: Polymorphic microsatellites from the tammar wallaby X chromosome and chromosome 2	39
Table 4.1: Characteristics of 29 tammar wallaby chromosome-specific microsatellites	47
Table 4.2: Genetic variability observed at 29 microsatellite loci from chromosomes X, Y and 2, in tammar wallabies from Kangaroo Island	57
Table 4.3: Genetic variability observed at 29 microsatellite loci from chromosomes X, Y and 2, in tammar wallabies from Garden Island	59
Table 4.4: Y chromosome microsatellite haplotypes observed from Kangaroo Island and Garden Island tammar wallabies	61
Table 4.5: Linkage disequilibrium at polymorphic microsatellite loci from the tammar wallaby: (a) chromosome 2; (b) X chromosome; (c) Y chromosome	62
Table 4.6: Factors that may influence levels of microsatellite variation on different marsupial chromosomes	70
Table 5.1: Potential PCR outcomes when screening small pools of DNA for mutations	77

Table 5.2: Results from SP-PCR and reconstruction experiments using somatic DNA samples at three autosomal tammar wallaby microsatellites	91
Table 5.3: Variations in estimated fragment sizes of reference alleles (in nucleotides) and peak intensities of reference alleles, spike alleles and their -1 repeat stutter peaks (in relative fluorescent units) at three autosomal tammar wallaby microsatellites	95
Table 5.4: False alleles observed in PCRs from somatic DNA samples at autosomal tammar wallaby microsatellite loci	102
Table 5.5: Mutations and locus-specific artefacts observed in SP-PCRs from tammar wallaby sperm DNA at three microsatellite loci	103
Table 5.6: Characteristics of mutations observed in SP-PCRs from tammar wallaby sperm DNA at three autosomal microsatellite loci	104
Table 6.1: Mammalian population genetic markers: the characteristics and potential applications of microsatellites from the sex chromosomes and autosomes, in comparison with mtDNA	116

Abstract

Microsatellites are simple repetitive DNA sequences that are used as genetic markers throughout the biological sciences. The high levels of variation observed at microsatellite loci contribute to their utility in studies at the population and individual levels. This variation is a consequence of mutations that change the length of microsatellite repeat tracts. Current understanding suggests that most mutations are caused by polymerase slippage during DNA replication and lead to changes of a single repeat unit in length, but some changes involving multiple repeats can also occur. Despite this simplistic overview, there is evidence for considerable heterogeneity in mutation processes between species, loci and alleles. Such complex patterns suggest that other mechanisms, including those associated with DNA recombination, are also involved in the generation of microsatellite mutations. Understanding which mutational mechanisms are responsible for variation at microsatellite markers is essential to enable accurate data interpretation in genotyping projects, as many commonly used statistics assume specific mutation models.

I developed microsatellite markers specific to the X and Y chromosomes and an autosome in the tammar wallaby, *Macropus eugenii*, and investigated their evolutionary properties using two approaches: indirectly, as inferred from population data, and directly, from observation of mutation events. First, I found that allelic richness increased with repeat length and that two popular mutation models, the stepwise mutation model and the infinite allele model, were poor at predicting the number of alleles per locus, particularly when gene diversity was high. These results suggest that neither model can account for all mutations at tammar wallaby microsatellites and hint at the involvement of more complex mechanisms than replication slippage. I also determined levels of variation at each locus in two tammar wallaby populations. I found that allelic richness was highest for chromosome 2, intermediate for the X chromosome and lowest for the Y chromosome in both populations. Thus, allelic richness varied between chromosomes in the manner predicted by their relative exposure to recombination, although these results may also be explained by the relative effective population sizes of the chromosomes studied. Second, I used small-pool PCR from sperm DNA to observe *de novo* mutation events at three of the most polymorphic autosomal markers. To determine the reliability of my observations I developed and applied strict criteria for scoring alleles and mutations at microsatellite loci. I observed mutations at all three

markers, with rate variation between loci. Single step mutations could not be distinguished because of the limitations of the approach, but 24 multi-step mutations, involving changes of up to 35 repeat units, were recorded. Many of these mutations involved changes that could not be explained by the gain or loss of whole repeat units. These results imply that a large number of mutations at tammar wallaby microsatellites are caused by mechanisms other than replication slippage and are consistent with a role for recombination in the mutation process.

Taken as a whole, my results provide evidence for complex mutation processes at tammar wallaby microsatellites. I conclude that careful characterisation of microsatellite mutation properties should be conducted on a case-by-case basis to determine the most appropriate mutation models and analysis tools for each locus. In addition, my work has provided a set of chromosome-specific markers for use in macropod genetic studies, which includes the first marsupial Y chromosome microsatellites. Sex chromosome microsatellites open a new range of possibilities for population studies, as they provide opportunities to investigate gene flow in a male context, to complement data from autosomal and maternally-inherited mitochondrial markers.

Acknowledgements

This would not be the thesis it is without the advice, help and encouragement of a great many people. To list all of those who have assisted me in some way would be impossible, but I will try my best. You should all know that I will be eternally grateful for the support you have given me. I couldn't have done this PhD without you.

To my supervisors in this endeavour, Stephen Sarre and Nancy FitzSimmons, you have both been fantastic in helping me get to the end of the thesis. I don't think it has quite turned out the way any of us imagined at the start, but I hope it is better for that. You've given me direction when I've been feeling lost and helped me to focus on the important things to make this a more rounded project. Steve, thanks in particular for getting me to look more at the bigger picture, for dealing so competently with my liberal scatterings of 'howevers' and 'due tos', and for always seeking that 'killer punch'. Nancy, thank you for keeping me on my toes with my writing, I don't know how many times you've pointed out that something could be interpreted completely differently (and very wrongly) by readers with a different background. Thanks too for reminding me that there's always space for kayaking and for letting me infiltrate the world of turtle genetics these last few months.

This thesis would truly be a different beast without the input of Jenny Graves. Thank you, Jenny, for your suggestions, encouragement and generosity throughout this project and for inviting me to participate in the meetings and workshops of the ARC Centre of Excellence for Kangaroo Genomics. The members of KanGO have all been extremely generous with their time and their knowledge, especially Natasha Sankovic, Kyall Zenger, Matthew Wakefield, Amber Alsop, Edda Koina, Kathy Belov, Hannah Siddle, Des Cooper, Lee Webley, Kejun Wei and Barbara Harriss. Marilyn Renfree, Geoff Shaw, Danielle Hickford and Terry Fletcher collected many tissue samples for me from Kangaroo Island. Brian Chambers from the University of Western Australia also generously donated tissue samples, as did Lyn Hinds from CSIRO. Thanks Lyn for even letting me get near the occasional wallaby. Merrilee Harris from the University of Newcastle taught me about wallaby sperm and obtained samples for me. Thank you, Merrilee, for such kind hospitality during my visit. Meizhong Luo from the Arizona Genomics Institute was kind enough to share unpublished BAC sequence data. Advice on statistical analyses was provided by the very understanding David Pederson.

My research was funded by an Australian Research Council Discovery Grant (DP0211687) awarded to Stephen Sarre and Nancy FitzSimmons. Financial assistance for conference attendance was also provided by the University of Canberra, the Institute for Applied Ecology, the Genetics Society of Australasia and the Society for the Study of Evolution.

In the early days of my PhD, I carried out PC2 work in the CCMI lab at the University of Canberra, then under Jenelle Kyd. Members of that lab, including Nancy Fisher, Claire Batum and Donna Easton, were all generous with their time and, when needed, their restriction enzymes. Towards the end of my PhD, when contamination avoidance became extremely important, Dennis McNevin was kind enough to provide access to the forensic lab's PCR setup area.

To all of those associated with the Wildlife Genetics Laboratory, thank you for all of your input over the years and thanks to all who have helped me in the lab. Oliver Berry and Marion Höhn introduced me to the joys of making enriched microsatellite libraries. I think I have forgiven them. Rachel Walsh was a tammar wallaby DNA extraction and PCR legend. Lachlan Farrington, Alex Quinn, Niccy Aitken, Kiki Dethmers, Katarina Mikac, Erika Alacs, Kate Hodges, Michael Jensen, Jo Lee, Linzi Wilson-Wilde, Justine Lewis, Shona Hodgetts, and Alicia McCudden were all great lab companions. Thanks for sharing reagents, putting stuff in the fridge / CEQ, sharing early mornings, evenings and weekends and introducing me to a diversity of musical influences. Niccy, thanks especially for your thorough editing efforts and all those beautiful hours spent discussing spikes, blobs, tails, babble, and hoojiedoozies. Alex, thanks for your minute attention to detail and your left-field questions. Arthur Georges, thank you for taking the trouble to read and comment on my manuscripts and for your seemingly never-ending supply of dubious jokes. Thanks also to the wider communities of the Institute for Applied Ecology and the School of Resource, Environmental and Heritage Sciences for your advice and encouragement, especially Will Osborne, Jim Hone and Peter Caley. Margi Böhm, thanks for your boundless enthusiasm, for teaching me about teaching and for keeping me employed. Shona Hodgetts, Linda Langford, Sue Ceeney, Janet Palmer-Allen, Lorraine Goodwin, Martha Rees, Glen Fisher, Brian Marsh and many others provided invaluable help with University matters.

My fellow postgrad students have shared with me the highs and lows of temperature and theses in our cosy office. To John Roe, Anett Richter, Wendy Dimond, Carla Eisemberg, Deb

Bower, Pippa Featherston, Nadav Pezaro, Christy Davies, Ben Corey, Megan McCann, Michelle Dawson, Don Fletcher (you will always be my token ecologist) and all the WGLers, you've all been wonderful to work with. Special thanks to those of you who have joined me for a cup of tea or several. Thanks too to the "upstairs people" for sharing many morning teas and to Alice Kenney, Nina Jenkins and Jo Keogh for cake supplies during the last few weeks.

My good friends have kept me anchored in reality during the course of my PhD. I have shared pleasant dinners and intrepid expeditions with Steve Pratt, Pat Gleeson and Fran Dumbrell. Hockey games and associated eating and drinking with Ian McDermid, Conrad Barr, Kath Jorgensen, Rob Solomon, Trish Marskell and other Barbarians and PrimeTimers have also helped me keep my sanity. Alicia Brinton and John Roe have been amazing. Thanks to you both for feeding me when Simon was away, for cheesecake brownies, for your ability to conjure wildlife out of thin air and for all the outings and trips to the coast. Special thanks to Tasman Brinton Roe for saying my name to my face before moving to the US and for being such an all round top bloke.

I would not have embarked on this journey in the first place without the influence of my family. My mum, Sylvia, and my dad, Andy, encouraged my zoological leanings from an early age: who knows where I would be now without that garden pond full of frogs and newts and the woodpile for the insects. Thanks to you and to my aunt, Margaret, and my siblings, Katie and James, for all the big and little things you've done for me to get me to this point. My greatest regret is that my wonderful dad, who saw me start this adventure, is not here now to see me finish it. It is to his memory that I dedicate this work.

Finally, there is one person above all for whom the words "thank you" seem insufficient. My partner in life, Simon Troman, has been the greatest support I could have imagined and more besides. Simon, I'm not really sure you knew what you were getting yourself into when I started this PhD, but you knew that it was important to me and you have taken it all in your stride. Through all of the late nights and lost weekends you've been there for me with dinner or a cup of tea or an encouraging word. You've made me get up and get to work when I've been down and you've made me stop and get some rest when I've needed it. I have no idea how you've managed it, but thank you. I would never have made it to the end without you!

Publications associated with this thesis

This thesis includes publications for which I am the senior but not the sole author. I took the lead in this research in that I designed the research, undertook the laboratory work, analysed the data and wrote the manuscripts. I was, however, assisted by my co-authors.

The publications associated with this thesis are as follows:

Chapter 2

MacDonald AJ, Sankovic N, Sarre SD, FitzSimmons NN, Wakefield, MJ, Graves JAM and Zenger, KR (2006) Y chromosome microsatellite markers identified from the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*) and their amplification in three other macropod species. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **6**, 1202-1204.

Chapter 3

MacDonald AJ, Sarre SD, FitzSimmons NN, Graves JAM (2007) Chromosome-specific microsatellites from the tammar wallaby X chromosome and chromosome 2. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **7**, 1063-1066.

Chapter 4

To be submitted for publication as: MacDonald AJ, Sarre SD and FitzSimmons NN.
Microsatellite evolution in marsupial sex chromosomes: which model fits?

Chapter 5

To be submitted for publication as: MacDonald AJ, Sarre SD, FitzSimmons NN and Aitken, NA. Characterising microsatellite mutations using small-pool PCR.

Chapter 6

MacDonald AJ, Sarre SD, FitzSimmons NN. Sex chromosome microsatellites: new tools for macropod population ecology. Submitted for publication in the Proceedings of the Australian Mammal Society Macropod Symposium held in Melbourne, July 2006.