

The Crisis of the Artificial:

**Why Does
Everything
Look the
Same?**

(VOLUME I)

Mark Roxburgh



**THE CRISIS OF THE ARTIFICIAL:
WHY DOES EVERYTHING LOOK THE SAME?**

A thesis submitted by
Mark Roxburgh
for the
Doctor of Philosophy - Environmental Design
July 2013

UNIVERSITY OF CANBERRA

THE ABSTRACT

In this thesis I will argue that the realist photograph conditions us to see, experience, and imagine the world in a narrow realist manner. I will contend that the questions we ask through realist photo-observation frame the world as a concrete reality. I will propose a framing theory for the use of photo-observation in design that encompasses abstraction and artifice to counter this by imagining reality as malleable. I will contend that my research presents another way to understand the nature of the questions one can ask through a photograph. This leads to a further question, although not restricted to photography, about the reality we are designing in the post-industrial age and that is ‘why does everything look the same?’

I present the case that ethnography has a long history of using photo-observation as a method of inquiry and there exists an extensive body of literature that discusses how to do it. More significantly much of this literature interrogates the epistemological and ontological dimensions of doing it. Regardless of the differing epistemological and ontological views that exist in the ethnographic research community, each view has a well-developed theoretical framework for using photo-observation. And though the ethnographic literature theorises the relationship between photography, the production of knowledge, and the problematic nature of notions of reality and truth, photo-realism is still the *modus operandi* of ethnographers.

By contrast design has relatively recently assumed the ethnographic turn and many research methods from ethnography, photo-observation included, are used by design practitioners and researchers in their inquiry. Where there is some literature that discusses how to do photo-observation for design there is a paucity of material that deals in any substantive way with the epistemological and ontological implications of doing so. Photo-realism is also the *modus operandi* of design researchers using photo-observation but it is used without any thought given to these implications or the epistemological and ontological differences that exist between ethnography and design. I will contend that ethnography is essentially concerned with telling us ‘what-is’. It is in the main a narrative practice and the realist photograph, as data, is an object of interrogation, analysis, and interpretation to be written about. I will argue

that design, by comparison, is fundamentally concerned with ‘what-might-be’ and that in spite of it being a transformative practice that shapes the world through images and objects its use of photo-observation conforms to the realist pattern.

Through my research I present the case that irrespective of these differences the use of photo-observation in both fields presupposes that photography can be used to ask questions. Inevitably these questions attend to reality - and what we may ask of it - but not in the commonplace sense of a reality the photograph apparently shows, the canonised and naturalised regime of pictorial realism that derives from its indexical relationship to its subject. The more fundamental questions pertain to the reality that we inevitably transform through the interplay of the imaginary and the images of photography via our embodied perception of the world. This is the subject of design, and though some critical theorists have touched it upon, it has not been theorised through design practice. With reference to several case studies of my own abstract photographic practice I present a new theory and new point of view for design to ask questions using photo-observational research and escape the banality of the real.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Inevitably my thanks to and acknowledgement of Professor Craig Bremner, my supervisor, take precedence. Craig probably won't mind me saying he didn't teach me anything because he doesn't really believe in teaching. Rather he guided me, via his considered questions and concise reflections, through my learning. Much of this learning is reflected in these pages, and as much again has no place in a PhD thesis but I will carry through life. His friendship, questions, humour, and sage advice have been central to my development as a scholar and my growth as a person.

Professor Ranulph Glanville is due a big vote of thanks also. Ranulph was an advisor in the early stages of this research and the numerous conversations I had with him, and the many pragmatic questions he asked me, were crucial in shaping my direction and focus. His ongoing interest in my research, beyond that more formal role, and his friendship over the years have been invaluable.

I would also like to thank my colleagues and friends Ms Jacqueline Gothe, Dr Ian Gwilt, Dr Zoe Sadokierski, and Dr Kate Sweetapple for the fun times and enlightened conversations all of which shaped my thinking - for better or worse. I miss you all.

To Ms Jenny Wilson who started my love of design practice and scholarship all those years ago in Balmain - a big thanks also. She truly is the unacknowledged Godmother of design education in this country.

To my children Isabella, Gabriel, and Conor, and their mother Francine - many thanks for putting up with my self absorption in those early years of my studies.

Finally to my dear wife Lisa, a special thanks for putting up with my single minded obsession with my research - especially in the final six months - and accepting my absence with grace and good humour.

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of my mother Judith. Given my teacher's comments on all of my school reports (each of which she diligently kept) and my previous aptitude for study I think she would have been amused and surprised I got this far.

CONTENTS

VOLUME I

TITLE PAGE	i
THE ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
CONTENTS	vi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION: LOOKING AT WHAT	
A PRACRICE IN REALITY	2
FRAMEWORK? WHAT FRAMEWORK?	11
WOULD THE REAL DESIGN PLEASE STAND UP	13
THE REALITY OF THE HERE-AND-NOW	15
THE REALITY OF REALITY	17
THE EXPERIENCE OF THE HERE-AND-NOW	20
TELLING US WHAT WE CAN SEE	22
PICTURING WHAT WE CAN'T SEE	24
THE QUESTIONS THAT I'M ASKING	25
SEEING WHAT WE FEEL	26
RECOUNTING WHAT I BELIEVE	26
STATING WHAT I WILL ARGUE	28
CHAPTER 2 LOOKING REALLY ARTIFICIAL	
THE REALLY ABSTRACT	32
WHY BOTHER LOOKING?	33
SEEING THE REAL	34
INTERPRETING THE REAL	42
SEEING THE REAL AS ABSTRACT	48
SEEING THE ABSTRACT AS REAL	53
SEEING THE VISIBLE AS REAL	58
SEEING THE VISIBLE AS ABSTRACT	74
SEEING THE ARTIFICIAL AS IMAGE	82
CHAPTER 3 REAL PHOTOGRAPHY	
THE REAL ABSTRACT, REALLY	86
SEEING PHOTOGRAPHIC REALITY	86
EXPOSING PHOTOGRAPHIC REALITY	90
READING PHOTOGRAPHIC REALITY	99
SEEING PHOTOGRAPHY ARTFULLY	104
THE CAUSE OF PHOTOGRAPHIC INDEXICALITY	108
THE EXPERIENCE OF PHOTOGRAPHIC INDEXICALITY	116
FINDING EXISTENTIAL INDEXICALITY	124

CHAPTER 4 REAL ABSTRACT PHOTOGRAPHY

THE ABSTRACT ABSTRACT	140
THE ARTIFICE OF ABSTRACTION	141
IMPRESSIONS OF ABSTRACTION	148
REAL ABSTRACTION	163
MODERN ABSTRACTION	178
PURE ABSTRACTION	184
ARTIFICIAL ABSTRACTION	188
PHENOMENAL ABSTRACTION	200
EXPERIENCE + UNDERSTANDING = SPACE	216

CHAPTER 5 EXPERIENCING ABSTRACTION

AN ABSTRACT IN THEORY	224
THE IMAGE OF THE ARTIFICIAL	225
THE BANALITY OF REALITY AND THE REALITY OF BANALITY	236
THE CRISIS OF THE ARTIFICIAL	249
THE CONDITION OF THE ARTIFICIAL	261

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION: SHAPING ABSTRACTION

AN ABSTRACT IN EXPERIENCE	266
THEORISING PRACTICE	267
THE INEVITABILITY OF THE ARTIFICIAL	277
THE AESTHETICS OF RESEARCH AND THE DESIGN IMPERATIVE	288
THE RE-PRESENTATION OF THE IMAGE	318

BIBLIOGRAPHY	334
--------------	-----

VOLUME II

APPENDIX A	3
APPENDIX B	165
APPENDIX C	183
APPENDIX D	189
APPENDIX E	197

VOLUME I:
THESIS

“I don’t
know
where the
artificial
stops and
the real
starts.”

Andy Warhol