

Physiological and Performance characteristics of Elite  
Mountain Bike Cyclists

**Kelly Linaker**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Masters of Applied Science in Sports Studies at the University of Canberra.

August 2004©

## ABSTRACT

Cross-country (XC) mountain bike (MTB) riding is a new cycling discipline and research examining the physiological demands of MTB racing is limited. The purpose of this study was to comprehensively measure physiological characteristics, to identify the performance demands of XC and time trial (TT) MTB racing and to simulate a field MTB race in the laboratory to measure the physiological responses associated with racing.

Twelve male and four female elite MTB cyclists volunteered to take part in this study. Subjects completed maximal aerobic power and, anaerobic power and capacity tests. MTB race data was collected during TT and XC competitions with SRM MTB power cranks fitted to the subjects MTB. Five male MTB cyclists ( $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$   $72.0 \pm 4.6$  ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, maximum power output (MPO)  $5.40 \pm 0.30$  W·kg<sup>-1</sup>, maximum heart rate (HR<sub>max</sub>)  $189 \pm 7$  bpm) performed two laps of a MTB course in the field using their race bikes with MTB SRM power cranks fitted. A laboratory MTB race simulation was performed using a wind braked ergometer. Cyclists attempted to match the average and peak power output (W·kg<sup>-1</sup>) achieved in the field trial in the laboratory. Power output (PO), heart rate (HR) and cadence (revolutions per minute, rpm) were measured during field and laboratory trials, while oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) was determined only during the laboratory simulation.

Results showed TT MTB racing is significantly shorter in duration and distance than XC racing and significantly higher for power output and heart rate, with more time spent above anaerobic threshold ( $16.0 \pm 2.4$  and  $22.8 \pm 4.3\%$  time) and MPO ( $38.4 \pm 5.2$  and  $26.5 \pm 9.4\%$  time) than XC racing ( $p < 0.05$ ). Mean power output and heart rate between the field and laboratory trials were similar ( $4.18 \pm 0.55$  and  $4.17 \pm 0.15$  W·kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively,  $175 \pm 9$  and  $170 \pm 8$  bpm). Time spent below  $2$  W·kg<sup>-1</sup> and above  $6$  W·kg<sup>-1</sup> for the field and laboratory trials accounted for  $\sim 32\%$  and  $\sim 30\%$  of the total time, respectively. During field and laboratory trials, cyclists utilised  $77.8$  and  $77.3\%$  of MPO,  $93$  and  $90\%$  of HR<sub>max</sub>, respectively. There was a significant difference between mean cadence in the field and laboratory trials ( $60.3 \pm 9.1$  and  $75.2 \pm 7.0$  rpm, respectively,  $p < 0.05$ ). The cadence band of 60-69 rpm

showed a significant difference between the time spent in that band from the field (14.6%) to the laboratory (4.6%). The time spent above a cadence of 80 rpm in the field was 29.8% compared to the laboratory at 62.0% of the time. Mean and peak  $\dot{V}O_2$  for the simulation was  $57.5 \pm 3.3$  and  $69.3 \pm 4.4$  ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> respectively, with cyclists sustaining an average of ~80%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ .

In summary, MTB competition requires multiple short-high intensity efforts and places high demands on both the aerobic and anaerobic energy systems. The power output and heart rate responses to a MTB field race are similar when simulated in the laboratory, although in the laboratory higher cadences are selected for the higher power outputs than the field.

**CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP OF THESIS**

Except where clearly acknowledged in footnotes, quotations and the bibliography, I certify that I am the sole author of the thesis submitted today entitled –

**Physiological and Performance characteristics of Elite Mountain Bike Cyclists**

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

The material in this thesis has not been the basis of an award of any other degree or diploma except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

The thesis complies with the University requirements for a thesis as set out in

<http://www.canberra.edu.au/secretariat/goldbook/forms/thesisrmt.pdf>

.....  
Signature of Candidate

.....  
Signature of chair of the supervisory panel

Date: .....

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank the following people for support whilst undertaking this Masters Thesis:

- ❖ The subjects who willingly gave their time and effort to the study.
- ❖ Tammie Ebert who wholeheartedly supported me, generously gave of her time and made me see the end in sight.
- ❖ Dr. David Martin for his enthusiasm and invaluable support in the pursuit of my research and in furthering the boundaries of sports science.
- ❖ Associate Professor Alan Roberts who had confidence in me to complete a Masters research project and advice throughout the study.
- ❖ Mr Damian Grundy, Australian National Mountain Bike Coach for his support, organisation and financial assistance.
- ❖ Dr. Mark Sayers, Daryl Adair and University of Canberra staff for their assistance in the final stage of the thesis.
- ❖ Cindi Hinch for her invaluable help with understanding the statistics needed for this thesis.
- ❖ Ben Rattray, Nining Kusanik and Paul Montgomery who assisted me throughout the data collection process.
- ❖ Dr Allan Hahn and the Department of Physiology, Australian Institute of Sport for their assistance and use of resources, and always taking an interest.
- ❖ Jennifer Towie for encouraging me to focus and finish this thesis.
- ❖ The Gadi Research Centre and the Centre for Sports Studies at the University of Canberra who allowed this thesis to take place.
- ❖ Ed Holinger for always believing in my ability and continually being there for me to help find my way.
- ❖ My family who I could not have made it through without and friends for their tireless support through this process.

## **DEDICATION**

This Masters Thesis has been accomplished in the memory of Garry Payne, a mountain bike cyclist who tragically passed away during a training accident while cycling. Without Garry's influence on me this research project would not have been contemplated.

# CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
<b>CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP OF THESIS</b>	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>DEDICATION</b>	vi
<b>CONTENTS</b>	vii
<b>PUBLICATIONS</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xv
<b>CHAPTER ONE</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Aims	4
1.3 Hypotheses	4
1.4 Limitations	5
1.5 Delimitations	5
1.6 Definitions of terms	5

## **CHAPTER TWO                      REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

2.1	Introduction	7	
	2.1.1	History	7
	2.1.2	Professional Road Cycling	8
	2.1.3	Track Cycling	8
	2.1.4	Mountain Bike	8
2.2	Physiological characteristics of road, track and mountain bike cyclists	9	
	2.2.1	Anthropometry	9
	2.2.2	Aerobic Power	16
		2.2.2.1	Maximal Aerobic Power
		2.2.2.2	Anaerobic Threshold
	2.2.3	Anaerobic Power and Capacity	25
2.3	Competition demands	28	
	2.3.1	Road Cycling	29
	2.3.2	Track Cycling	33
	2.3.3	Mountain Bike	35
2.4	Assessment of physiological capacities	37	
	2.4.1	Road Cycling	37
	2.4.2	Track Cycling	42
	2.4.3	Mountain Bike	43
2.5	Summary	45	

## **CHAPTER THREE                      STUDY 1: PHYSIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MOUNTAIN BIKE CYCLISTS**

3.1	Introduction	47	
3.2	Methods	47	
	3.2.1	Sample and Subject Preparation	47
	3.2.2	Anthropometry	48



3.2.3	Maximal oxygen consumption	49
3.2.4	Maximal Accumulated Oxygen Deficit (MAOD)	51
3.2.5	Anaerobic power	52
3.2.6	Statistical Analysis	53
3.3	Results	53
3.3.1	The Sample	53
3.3.2	Anthropometry	54
3.3.3	Maximal oxygen consumption	54
3.3.4	Maximal Accumulated Oxygen Deficit	54
3.3.5	Anaerobic power	54
3.4	Discussion	61
3.4.1	Anthropometry	61
3.4.2	Aerobic Power	62
3.4.3	Anaerobic Power and Capacity	65
3.5	Summary	66

**CHAPTER FOUR                      STUDY 2: COMPETITION DEMANDS OF MOUNTAIN  
BIKE RACING**

4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Methods	67
4.2.1	Sample and Subject preparation	67
4.2.2	MTB SRM power crank	68
4.2.3	Sports Tester Polar® Heart Rate Monitor	70
4.2.4	Race Analysis	70
4.2.5	Statistical Analysis	71
4.3	Results	71
4.3.1	The Sample	71
4.3.2	Comparison between time trial and cross country racing	71
4.4	Discussion	77
4.5	Summary	81

## **CHAPTER FIVE**                      **STUDY 3: MOUNTAIN BIKE RACE SIMULATION**

5.1	Introduction	83	
5.2	Methods	83	
5.2.1	Sample and Subject Preparation	83	
5.2.2	Field Testing (FIELD)		84
5.2.3	Laboratory simulation (LAB)	85	
5.2.4	Statistical Analyses	88	
5.3	Results	88	
5.3.1	The Sample	88	
5.3.2	Reliability Data	88	
5.3.3	Comparison between field and laboratory	91	
5.3.4	Environmental Conditions	91	
5.4	Discussion	97	
5.5	Summary	100	

## **CHAPTER SIX**                      **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

6.1	Conclusions	101	
6.2	Recommendations	103	

<b>REFERENCES</b>		105	
-------------------	--	-----	--

## **APPENDICES**

A.1	Subject Information Sheet	110	
A.2	Informed Consent Document	112	

## **PUBLICATIONS**

- ❖ Physiological responses of well-trained cyclists to field and laboratory mountain bike race simulations. KL Linaker, DT Martin, E Lawton, B Rattray, AD Roberts. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003 Suppl 35 (5) pg S35 (Abstract).

Presented at the American College of Sports Medicine Conference in San Francisco, USA in May 2003.

- ❖ Can the Power Output-Cadence relationship during MTB racing be replicated in the laboratory?

Presented at the National Cycling Coaches Conference in Canberra, Australian Institute of Sport in December 2002.

- ❖ A comparison of the physiological demands of well-trained cyclists to field and laboratory mountain bike race simulations. KL Linaker, DT Martin, E Lawton, B Rattray, AD Roberts. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2002 Suppl 5 (4) pg 39 (Abstract).

Presented at the Sports Medicine Australia Conference in Canberra, Australia in October 2002.

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Anthropometric characteristics of road cyclists	11
Table 2.	Anthropometric characteristics of track cyclists	12
Table 3.	Anthropometric characteristics of mountain bike cyclists	14
Table 4.	Maximal oxygen consumption values for road cyclists	17
Table 5.	Maximal oxygen consumption values for mountain bike cyclists	21
Table 6.	Anaerobic threshold values from maximal oxygen consumption test for mountain bike cyclists	24
Table 7.	Anthropometric characteristics of male MTB cyclists	55
Table 8.	Anthropometric characteristics of female MTB cyclists	56
Table 9.	Maximal oxygen consumption responses of MTB cyclists	57
Table 10.	Anaerobic threshold responses of MTB cyclists	58
Table 11.	Maximal Accumulated Oxygen Deficit Test responses of male MTB cyclists	59
Table 12.	Anaerobic power responses of male MTB cyclists	60
Table 13.	Comparison between TT and XC MTB races	72
Table 14.	Physiological responses from the FIELD and LAB tests of male MTB cyclists	92

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	A MTB SRM power crank and an SRM fitted to a cyclist's MTB	69
Figure 2.	Internal instrumentation of the SRM power crank	69
Figure 3.	Time spent in power output bands during Time Trial and Cross Country MTB racing	73
Figure 4.	Time spent in cadence bands during Time Trial and Cross Country MTB racing	75
Figure 5.	SRM profile of MTB Time Trial racing	76
Figure 6.	SRM profile of MTB Cross Country racing	76
Figure 7.	Set-up of the laboratory simulation	86
Figure 8.	Display of course profile for power output and cadence	86
Figure 9.	The Hayes Cycle Ergometer used for the Laboratory Simulation	87
Figure 10.	Comparison of power output for Lap 1 and Lap 2 of the FIELD trials	89
Figure 11.	Comparison of cadence for Lap 1 and Lap 2 of the FIELD trials	90
Figure 12.	Time spent in power output bands for the FIELD and LAB test	93
Figure 13.	Time spent in cadence bands for the FIELD and LAB test	94
Figure 14.	Heart rate during the FIELD and LAB test	95
Figure 15.	Oxygen uptake during the LAB test	96

## ABBREVIATIONS

AIS	=	Australian Institute of Sport
Ave	=	Average
BLa	=	Blood lactate
bpm	=	Beats per minute
cm	=	Centimetres
d	=	Day
ES	=	Effect size
h	=	Hour
hPa	=	HectaPascals
HR	=	Heart rate
ITT	=	Individual Time Trial
kg	=	Kilograms
km·h <sup>-1</sup>	=	Kilometres per hour
l·min <sup>-1</sup>	=	Litres per minute
m	=	Metre
ml·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup>	=	Millilitres per kilogram of body mass per minute
min	=	Minute
mmol·L <sup>-1</sup>	=	Millimoles per litre
MPO	=	Maximum power output
MTB	=	Mountain Bike
OBLA	=	Onset of blood lactate accumulation (4 mmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )
PO	=	Power Output
RPE	=	Rating of perceived exertion
rpm	=	Revolutions per minute
s	=	Second
SD	=	Standard deviation
TT	=	Time trial
$\dot{V}O_2$	=	Oxygen uptake

$\dot{V}O_{2\max}$	=	Maximum oxygen consumption
W	=	Watt
$W \cdot kg^{-1}$	=	Watts per kilogram of body mass
UCI	=	Union Cycliste Internationale
$^{\circ}C$	=	Degrees Celsius