



University of Canberra

This thesis is available in print format from the University of Canberra Library.

If you are the author of this thesis and wish to have the whole thesis loaded here, please contact the University of Canberra Library at *e-theses@canberra.edu.au* Your thesis will then be available on the www providing greater access.

COMMUNITY TITLE OR COMMUNITY CHAOS

**Environmental management, community development and
governance in rural residential developments established under
Community Title**

By

Peter Hazell

Bachelor of Applied Science

Graduate Diploma Environmental Education

September 2002

**A thesis submitted in total fulfillment of the requirements of the Degree of
Master of Applied Science**

Acknowledgements

This project began in 1996. The field work was undertaken between mid 1996 and mid 1997. Work and community commitments have meant that it has taken me until now to finish writing the thesis. However, I feel that the issues raised in this thesis are as relevant today as they were in 1996, if not more so.

I have many people to thank for helping me complete this project. Firstly, I would like to thank the convenor of the former Environmental Education program at University of Canberra, John Harris, who has been a mentor to me since we met in 1995.

I would like to give a huge thankyou to my supervisors, Jenny Andrew and Anna Carr. Jenny and Anna have both given me buckets full of positive encouragement, as well as critical feedback. Above all they never doubted that I would finish – even when, at times, I doubted myself.

I would like to thank all the people that were involved in the research for their time and input. I would especially like to thank all those in the rural residential developments whom I interviewed for inviting me into their homes and for sharing their experiences with me. Their honesty and openness towards me during the interviews, workshops and meetings has given this thesis a special relevance I think.

One person, who provided me with substantial assistance in setting up this project, was Brian Hill, Director of Ormond Ridge consultants, and the designer of two of the estates that I case studied. Sadly, Brian passed away in 1997. I am sure though that his memory lives on in his designs. Brian was a man with the vision to pursue the ideals of ecologically sustainable development at a time when no-one else in development was. His inventiveness in designing sub-divisions had been the subject of many awards. Brian showed sincere enthusiasm for my project ideas and helped me whenever he could. In this thesis my thoughts are with Brian and his family.

I would also like to thank Roger Bain, the developer of two of the estates in the case study. Without Roger's help the research would not have been undertaken at all.

Roger always had plenty of time for me. He was always very helpful and he was an enthusiastic supporter of the project.

Thankyou also to Mark Greyson, the planner at Yass Shire Council. Mark also provided me with plenty of assistance while I was researching the topic.

I thank my friends in Braidwood who have supported me while I've been writing up; especially Pierre and Kate, Su and Paul, and Phil and Penny. I must also acknowledge the community spirit that exists in Braidwood. It is that spirit that has inspired me to finish this work.

I also thank Rob Gourlay, firstly for allowing me the time off work to finish writing the thesis, but also for providing me with support, advice and ideas during the early days of this project and for allowing me to use his extensive home library.

Thankyou to Anna Neilson, who assisted by taking notes when I was conducting group interviews. Thankyou to my mother and father, Ed and Zeta, for providing me with unwavering support, food, shelter and a place to study early on in the project. Likewise, thankyou also to my parents in-law, Roberta and John Nunan, for their hospitality and kind support.

To everyone else in the environmental education program who used to sit around talk about our projects, all of whom have already submitted their thesis. I think I am the last to submit. Perhaps we should all get back together and have a big party.

Finally, my biggest thankyou goes to the most inspirational one of all - Donna, my wife. Donna has been my rock from the time I began this project. She has provided the perfect sounding board for ideas and has made the sacrifices so that we can both achieve our goals. Maybe now we can have a holiday.

Abstract

This thesis contends that; in mainstream rural residential development around the Australian Capital Territory, use of community title guidelines for sub-division should consider social processes and environmental considerations along-side economic imperatives and interactions.

Community title is a form of land tenure that allows for private freehold ownership of land as well as community owned land within the one sub-division. In New South Wales, community title was introduced in 1990 under the *Community Land Development Act 1989 (NSW)* and the *Community Land Management Act 1989 (NSW)*. Since the introduction of community title, upwards of one hundred and fifty developments, ranging from just a few blocks to the size of small suburbs, have been approved throughout the state. The original aim of community title was to provide a legal framework that underpinned theme-based broad-acre development. Theme-based development could include a Permaculture[®] village, a rural retreat for like-minded equine enthusiasts, or even a medieval village.

Community title is also seen as an expedient form of land tenure for both developers and shire councils. Under community title, a developer only has to submit a single development application for a multi-stage development. This can significantly reduce a developer's exposure to risk. From a shire council's perspective, common land and resources within a development, which would otherwise revert to council responsibility for management, becomes the collective responsibility of all the land owners within the development, effectively obviating council from any responsibility for management of that land. Community title is also being touted in planning and policy as a way of achieving 'sustainable' environmental management in new sub-divisions. The apparent expediency of community title has meant that development under these guidelines has very quickly moved beyond theme-based development into mainstream rural residential development.

Community title effectively provides a framework for participatory governance of these developments. The rules governing a community title development are set out in the management statement, which is submitted to the local council and the state

government with the development application. A community association, which includes all lot owners, manages the development. Unless written into the original development application, the council has no role in the management of the common land and resources.

This thesis looks at the peri-urban zone around one of Australia's fastest growing cities – Canberra, whose population growth and relative affluence is impacting on rural residential activity in the shires surrounding the Australian Capital Territory. Yarrowlumla Shire, immediately adjacent to the ACT, has experienced a 362 percent increase in population since 1971. Much of this growth has been in the form of rural residential or hobby farm development. Since 1990, about fifteen percent of the development in Yarrowlumla Shire has been community title. The Yass Shire, to the north of the ACT, has shown a forty five percent population increase since 1971. Community title in that shire has accounted for over fifty percent of development since 1990. The thesis case study is set in Yass Shire.

The major research question addressed in the thesis is; does community title, within the context of rural residential development around the Australian Capital Territory, facilitate community-based environmental management and education? Subsidiary questions are; what are the issues in and around rural residential developments within the context of the study, who are the stakeholders and what role do they play and; what skills and support are required to facilitate community-based environmental management and education within the context of the study area?

To answer the research questions I undertook an interpretive case study, using ethnographic methods, of rural residential development near the village of Murrumbateman in the Yass Shire, thirty kilometres north of Canberra. At the time of the study, which was undertaken in 1996, the developments involved had been established for about four years. The case study revealed that, as a result of stakeholders and residents not being prepared for the management implications of community title, un-necessary conflict was created between residents and between residents and stakeholders. Community-based environmental management issues were not considered until these issues of conflict were addressed and residents had spent

enough time in the estates to familiarise themselves with their environment and with each other.

Once residents realised that decisions made by the community association could affect them, they developed a desire to participate in the process of management.

Eventually, earlier obstacles were overcome and a sense of community began to develop through involvement in the community association. As residents became more involved, the benefits of having ownership of the community association began to emerge.

However, this research found that management of a broad acre rural residential development under community title was far more complicated than any of the stakeholders, or any but the most legally minded residents, were prepared for.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Project background.....	1
1.2 Research questions	8
1.3 Approach.....	9
1.4 Thesis structure.....	10
CHAPTER TWO - CONCEPTS	12
2.1 Social processes	12
2.2 Community.....	13
2.2.1 <i>Sense of community</i>	14
2.2.2 <i>Community development</i>	16
2.3 Community-based environmental management.....	17
2.4 Participatory governance.....	17
2.5 Community-based environmental education	19
2.5.1 <i>Action competence</i>	22
2.6 Ecologically sustainable development and Local Agenda 21	23
2.7 Landscape.....	26
2.8 Summary	27
CHAPTER THREE - METHODOLOGY	29
3.1 Phase one – Defining a research approach	30
3.1.1 <i>Defining the study</i>	30
3.1.2 <i>Grounded theory</i>	31
3.1.3 <i>Philosophical approach</i>	32
3.1.4 <i>Positivism and post-positivism</i>	32
3.1.5 <i>Interpretivism</i>	34
3.1.6 <i>Critical theory</i>	34
3.2 Phase two – Contact with primary informants.....	36
3.3 Phase three - Contact with Nineteen Counties community association.....	40
3.3.1 <i>Pilot interviews</i>	41
3.3.2 <i>In-depth interviews with the residents</i>	41
3.3.3 <i>Group interview</i>	42
3.4 Phase four - Other stakeholders and key informants.....	43
3.4.1 <i>Observation</i>	43
3.5 Phase five – Community workshops	44
3.5.1 <i>Comments on action research</i>	44
3.6 Phase six - Data analysis	45
3.7 Summary	46
CHAPTER FOUR - COMMUNITY TITLE AND LAND TENURE .	47
4.1 Background.....	47
4.2 Intentional communities	49
4.3 Non-Intentional communities	51
4.4 Land title.....	51
4.5 Reflections on the Commons	52
4.6 Gazettal of the Australian Capital Territory.....	53
4.7 Summary	54

CHAPTER FIVE - A CASE STUDY IN COMMUNITY TITLE	56
5.2 Nineteen Counties	61
5.2.1 Profile of the development	62
5.2.2 The biophysical environment.....	64
5.2.3 Governance of Nineteen Counties.....	66
5.3 Where city meets country	66
5.4 So why community title?	68
5.5 Conflict	72
5.5.1 Early conflict in Nineteen Counties	72
5.5.2 Analysis of the conflict	74
5.6 Bounty	76
5.6.1 Mutiny	77
5.6.2 Evolution versus revolution	77
5.7 Community development.....	79
5.7.1 Community cohesion	81
5.7.2 Community cohesion and environmental management.....	83
5.8 Environmental management in Nineteen Counties	84
5.8.2 The gardening group.....	86
5.9 Public liability and environmental management.....	87
5.9.1 Public liability as an impediment.....	87
5.9.2 Public liability as a motivator	87
5.10 Facilitation and mediation in the early phases	89
5.11 Perceptions of outsiders.....	93
5.11.1 Broader Murrumbateman community	93
5.11.2 State government departments.....	94
5.12 Summary	96
CHAPTER SIX – IMPLICATIONS OF COMMUNITY TITLE	97
6.1 Planning Issues	97
6.1.1 The issue of land tenure	98
6.1.2 The influence of Canberra	99
6.2 Community title, community-based environmental management and education.....	101
6.2.1 Support for residents	103
6.2.2 The physical environment	105
6.3 Summary	106
6.4 Recommendations	106
CHAPTER SEVEN – CONCLUSIONS.....	108
CHAPTER EIGHT – BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	110
Appendix 1 – Example of letter sent to each resident in Nineteen Counties.....	118
Appendix 2 – Nineteen Counties interview questionnaire form.....	119
Appendix 3 – Nineteen Counties gardening group questionnaire.....	126
Appendix 4 - Key informants interviewed during the research.....	130
Appendix 5 - Workshops and meetings I attended.....	131

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1 – The six States and two Territories of Australia..	2
Figure 2 – South East New South Wales	2
Figure 3 – Canberra and surrounding towns and villages.	3
Figure 4 – The ACT and Sub-region in 1995 during the draft planning process. 7	
Figure 5 – The ACT and sub-region in 1998	7
Figure 6 – A view of a new rural residential development.....	28
Figure 7 – Percentage population change in the shires near the ACT since 1971.	57
Figure 8 – Population change in the shires near the ACT between 1911 and 2001	58
Figure 9 – Percentage of population in shires near the ACT with weekly incomes of greater than \$800	59
Figure 10 – Aerial photo of Nineteen Counties with property boundaries overlaid.....	63
Figure 11 – Residents expressed familiarity with community title.	69
Figure 12 – Residents’ responses to how well community title had been explained prior to them purchasing land at Nineteen Counties.	69
Figure 13 – Number of households that attended community association meetings on a regular basis.....	81
Figure 14 – Number of households that considered it important to be involved in the management process.....	81
Figure 15 – Number of households that felt the community association was addressing environmental issues adequately.	85
Figure 16 – Number of households that felt more could be done about environmental issues.	85
Figure 17 – Number of households that felt facilitation in the early phases of community development would have been helpful.....	90
Figure 18 – Number of households that supported some form of public access to Nineteen Counties.	94
Table 1 – Major forms of social inquiry	36