

**Spatial and demographic  
consequences of genotypic and  
thermosensitive sex determination in  
stable and changing climates**

**Maria Boyle**

BA (ANU)

BSc (Hons) (University of Canberra)

Institute for Applied Ecology

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the

University of Canberra

**February 2014**

## Abstract

For many species of reptile, crucial demographic parameters such as juvenile survival and individual sex (male or female) depend on ambient temperature. This suggests that population persistence and, hence, geographic range could be determined by local climate and be strongly impacted by climate change. Unfortunately we know little about these factors empirically or how they might interact in continuous populations with or without dispersal. Furthermore, although not temperature linked, the adult sex ratio may influence female fecundity through the effects of the strength of male limitation and, hence, population persistence. This thesis has been a first step in quantifying the relative importance of juvenile survival, juvenile sex ratio, male limitation and dispersal in determining reptile population persistence, range limits and range change in stable and warming climates using plausible and testable models.

Recent models of climate warming have predicted major consequences (local population extinctions) for populations with temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) arising from biased juvenile sex ratios. In many TSD reptiles females are produced at warmer temperatures and increasingly feminised populations are occurring. Juvenile survival may also be affected by temperature, because eggs successfully incubate only at certain temperatures. In Chapter 2, the population dynamics of theoretical female-biased populations of reptiles with TSD were compared to populations with genotypic sex determination (GSD) using an analytical approach. The effects of climate (ambient air temperature) on juvenile survival, juvenile sex ratio and male limitation on population size and persistence were evaluated in populations of females. A population growth equation was used to estimate population sizes of females in ecological equilibrium, along three gradients of stable environmental temperatures (i.e. 16 – 31°C, 18 – 33°C and 20 – 35°C). Included in the equation were cohort

sex ratios (CSR) response curves (to model skews in the sex ratio) and ‘normal’ (wider) and ‘left-skew’ (narrower) curves to model temperature-dependent embryonic survival. There were three levels of male limitation: none, moderate and strong.

Warmer climates producing female-biased sex ratios in populations of reptiles with TSD resulted in larger effective population sizes of females in the short-term. However, reduced fecundity in female-biased populations when fecundity was limited by the abundance of males resulted in smaller population sizes and reduced population persistence across a narrower range of temperatures. The effects of the moderate and stronger levels of male limitation were qualitatively similar, except that the stronger level resulted in greater reductions in population sizes. The shape of the temperature-dependent juvenile survival curve influenced the number of surviving TSD and populations of reptiles with GSD, and the wider curve resulted in greater population persistence. For populations of reptiles with TSD, despite short-term increases in population sizes in female-biased populations the decrease in males and reduction in juvenile survival as a result of climate warming are likely to offset any short-term gains.

Reptiles with limited climatic range, or not able to change their range are at risk of population declines from climate warming. Species located at range edges live closer to their physiological limits and experience greater stress than those located towards the centre of their ranges. Male-biased dispersal is thought to be the main dispersal tendency in reptiles. As climates warm, if populations become increasingly female-biased recruitment of male hatchlings through dispersal may facilitate population persistence at range edges. In Chapter 3, population persistence in reptiles in a stable climate (temperature gradient 18 – 33°C) was explored further with the introduction of dispersal. The role of dispersal in determining population persistence and range limits was explored extensively in continuous populations of males and females.

A matrix of 10,000 populations were distributed along a temperature gradient (100 temperatures, the columns of the matrix), and replicated with 100 populations per temperature (the rows of the matrix). A simulation model was developed that incorporated both demographic (Chapter 2) and dispersal models. The dispersal model was a probability density function based on a fat-tailed dispersal kernel including three levels of dispersal (none, small and large). There were four dispersal tendencies (none, male, female and both sexes). Simulations proceeded in 1000 discrete time steps (years), and there were 10 replicates for each combination of TSD and, separately GSD with each dispersal level and tendency.

Dispersal tendency was found to be more influential in determining population persistence and range limits in TSD than in populations of reptiles with GSD. Populations of reptiles with GSD were more influenced by temperature-dependent embryonic survival. Hence, populations of reptiles with TSD were able to persist beyond the limits of populations of reptiles with GSD through dispersal. This is a key and novel finding. Under climate warming these effects were exacerbated.

TSD reptiles are considered to be very vulnerable to climate warming as they have biased juvenile sex ratios. Furthermore, imbalanced sex ratios in marginal habitats determine the limit of range expansion, in stable climates. As climates warm it has been predicted that imbalanced sex ratios at the leading (colder) edge of the range of a species will become more equal resulting in more rapid population growth. As a consequence, a new pool of dispersers will be produced and this will facilitate range expansion. In Chapter 4, the population model and simulation details were similar to Chapter 3. The main differences were: there were 15 replicates for each dispersal condition; dispersal levels of none, very small and small were used; and there were two simulations, one for a scenario of no climate warming and the other for a scenario of climate warming. A 3°C increase in ambient temperature across 100 years was chosen. Simulations were run for 1100 years in a stable climate (temperature gradient 18

– 33°C) (no climate warming scenario), and separately for 1000 years, followed by 100 years where temperature increased in equal increments until a 3°C increase was reached (climate warming scenario).

Populations with female-biased primary sex ratios did not become extinct following climate warming by 3°C. As climates warmed the effects of the interaction of juvenile survival, juvenile sex ratios and male limitation were further exacerbated and a number of populations were lost at the warmer edge of the range. Nevertheless, the loss of populations was less than would have occurred on the basis of theoretical predictions about the effects of climate warming on populations of reptiles with TSD. Dispersal level was found to be most effective in increasing population persistence in populations of reptiles with TSD when dispersal occurred at a relatively ‘large’ level. Populations showed little capacity for range shift, or range expansion following climate warming. Populations of reptiles with TSD with increasingly female-biased (and not equal) adult and juvenile sex ratios reached the largest sizes, following climate warming, at the colder edges of the range.

Populations of reptiles with TSD with temperature-linked juvenile sex ratios and juvenile survival as well as limited dispersal capacity and limited behavioural or evolutionary compensatory mechanisms may be vulnerable to future declines in population persistence. However, the rate of local population extinctions from climate warming may not be as great as previously thought.

## Acknowledgements

My sincere thanks to my supervisors Lisa Schwanz, Jim Hone and Arthur Georges for their outstanding support and assistance in this thesis. I feel really blessed to have worked with scientists of such high calibre. Lisa, I feel very fortunate that you joined my supervisory panel. Your incisive comments and insights throughout the project, and expertise in modelling were invaluable. Jim, you have been my constant mentor and friend for many years throughout my honours and postgraduate studies. Your vast knowledge, insights, expertise in population matters, and attention to detail have helped me enormously. Arthur, you offered some really good insights into the project at times when it really counted. You are an exceptional reviewer and scientist. Lisa, Jim and Arthur, completion of this thesis would not have been possible without you.

My sincere thanks also to Bernd Gruber for technical advice and support in matters related to the R programming language. Many thanks to Jasmyn Lynch, Berlinda Bowler, David Wong and Sam Venables for assistance with thesis formatting. I would also like to thank some really exceptional people for thesis editing. My thanks to Berlinda Bowler, Fiona Clapin, Alie Cowood, Jasmyn Lynch, Felicity Hatton and Lisa Douchette. Many thanks to Aaron Adamack for some really insightful comments on my conference talks.

There is a long list of staff in the Institute for Applied Ecology that really helped to ensure that this project ran smoothly through the provision of invaluable academic, technical and administrative support. Therefore my special thanks to Barbara Harris (for administrative and crisis support), David Choquenot, Dianne Gleeson, Jasmyn Lynch, Stephen Sarre, Jayne Lawrence, Helen Thomas, Karen Mow, Kerrie Aust, Vicki Smyth, Janet Palmer-Allen and Joanne Sutherland. I would also like to thank the Institute for Applied Ecology and Jim Hone for funding several domestic and international conferences.

My thanks to Berlinda Bowler, Fiona Clapin, Larissa Schneider, Veronika Vysna, Felcity Hatton, Bruno Ferronato, Kate Hodges, Lisa Doucette, Angelica Lopez, Carla Eisemberg, Anett Richter, Bernd Gruber, Wendy Dimond, Anna MacDonald, Matthew Young, Clare Holleley and Margi Bohm for their friendship and support over many years. As well as anyone else that I may have inadvertently missed.

To the Press family: Raeline, Tanya, Korina and recent additions Amelia and Riley. Raeline you have been a great support and friend for many years. The support of a truly great friend should never be taken for granted.

I dedicate this thesis to my parents Marjorie and Keith (dec) Boyle and my sister Alanna Boyle. I thank my late father Keith for scholastic encouragement.

To everyone that I have mentioned above my sincere thanks again, and to quote President John F. Kennedy.

*“But in a very real sense, it will not be one man going to the Moon. If we make this judgment affirmatively, it will be an entire nation. For all of us must work to put him there”.*

# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Certificate of Authorship of Thesis</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Under what conditions do climate-driven sex ratios enhance versus diminish population persistence in reptiles?</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1. Thesis Aims and Structure.....	16
1.1.1. Aims: .....	17
1.1.2. Structure .....	17
<b>Chapter 2: Modelling effects of climate on reptile populations</b> .....	<b>23</b>
2.1. Introduction .....	23
2.1.1. The TSD reaction norm .....	24
2.1.2. Cohort sex ratio (CSR) .....	24
2.1.3. Temperature-dependent embryonic survival .....	26
2.1.4. Male limitation .....	28
2.1.6. Aims .....	31
2.2. Methods .....	32
2.2.1. Model description .....	32
2.2.2. Population size.....	32
2.2.3. Cohort sex ratio and temperature .....	35
2.2.4. Juvenile survival and temperature .....	37

2.2.4.	Juvenile survival and temperature .....	40
2.2.5.	Male limitation .....	41
2.2.6.	Combined effects of juvenile survival, cohort sex ratio, temperature, and of male limitation.....	42
2.3.	Results .....	44
2.3.1.	Model behaviour and sensitivity .....	44
2.3.	Results .....	45
2.3.1.	Model behaviour and sensitivity .....	45
2.3.	Results .....	46
2.3.1.	Model behaviour and sensitivity .....	46
2.3.2.	Population size.....	46
2.3.3.	Cohort sex ratio and temperature .....	49
2.3.4.	Juvenile survival and temperature .....	51
2.3.5.	Male limitation .....	51
2.3.6.	Combined effects of juvenile survival, cohort sex ratio, temperature, and male limitation.....	54
2.3.6.1.	Populations of reptiles with GSD .....	54
2.3.6.2.	Populations with TSD.....	54
2.3.6.2.1.	Populations of reptiles with TSD with no male limitation on female fecundity (b=0) .....	54
2.3.6.2.2.	Populations of reptiles with TSD with a moderate level of male limitation on female fecundity (b=0.01) .....	56

2.3.6.2.3. Populations of reptiles with TSD with a strong level of male limitation (b=0.1) .....	59
2.4. Discussion.....	63
2.4.1. Summary.....	69
<b>Chapter 3: The role of dispersal in determining range limits in reptiles. ....</b>	<b>71</b>
3.1. Introduction .....	71
3.1.1. Range limits in temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) species.....	71
3.1.2. Potential for local adaptation.....	73
3.1.3. Role of dispersal .....	75
3.1.4. Rationale.....	78
3.2. Methods .....	79
3.2.1. Model description.....	79
3.2.1.1. Population model.....	79
3.2.1.2. Dispersal model .....	80
3.2.1.3. Simulation.....	85
3.3. Results .....	87
3.3.1. Dispersal in populations of reptiles with GSD .....	87
3.3.2. Dispersal in populations of reptiles with TSD.....	91
3.3.3. Results summary .....	93
3.4. Discussion.....	96
3.4.1. Conclusions .....	101

<b>Chapter 4: The effects of climate warming on population persistence and abundance in reptiles .....</b>	<b>103</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	103
4.1.1. Aims .....	110
4.2. Methods .....	111
4.2.1. Simulation.....	111
4.2.2. Population model.....	112
4.2.3. Spatial analysis and correlation of sex ratios in populations of reptiles with TSD.....	113
4.2.4. Dispersal .....	114
4.3. Results .....	115
4.3.1. GSD Populations .....	115
4.3.2. Populations of reptiles with TSD .....	117
4.4. Discussion.....	129
4.4.1. Conclusions .....	135
<b>Chapter 5: Synopsis.....</b>	<b>139</b>
5.1. Contribution of my thesis .....	139
5.2. Role of empiricists in data collection .....	142
<b>References.....</b>	<b>149</b>

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1:</b> Temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) reaction norms for sex determining patterns (a) TSD1A, (b) TSD1B, and (c) TSDII..	12
<b>Figure 1.2:</b> Thesis outline and structure .....	21
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> Logistic growth curves for varying population sizes..	36
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> Cohort sex ratio (CSR) response curves and baseline juvenile survival curves. ..	38
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> The female fertilisation probability as a function of adult sex ratio (ASR).....	43
<b>Figure 2.4:</b> Incorporation of the effects of juvenile survival ( $a$ ), cohort sex ratio ( $p$ ), temperature, and of male limitation.....	43
<b>Figure 2.5:</b> Simple logistic population growth for a single population generated using equation 1 at 24.5°C. ....	48
<b>Figure 2.6:</b> Population sizes of females for cohort sex ratio (CSR) response curves.....	50
<b>Figure 2.7:</b> Female population size without male limitation..	52
<b>Figure 2.8:</b> Populations of females for genotypic sex determination (GSD) and temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) and no temperature-dependent embryonic survival (TS) with varying levels of male limitation, for temperature gradient 2. ....	53
<b>Figure 2.9:</b> Populations of females for various combinations of temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) and genotypic sex determination (GSD) with and without temperature-dependent juvenile survival (TS). Males do not limit female fecundity ( $b=0$ ). ....	57
<b>Figure 2.10:</b> Populations of females for various combinations of temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) and genotypic sex determination (GSD) with and without temperature-dependent embryonic survival (TS). Moderate level of male limitation on female fecundity ( $b=0.01$ )..	60
<b>Figure 2.11:</b> Populations of females for various combinations of temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) and genotypic sex determination (GSD) with and without temperature-	

dependent embryonic survival (TS). Strong level of male limitation on female fecundity ( $b=0.1$ ).. .....	62
<b>Figure 3.1:</b> Demographic and dispersal processes in the simulation model.....	81
<b>Figure 3.2:</b> The female fertilisation probability as a function of adult sex ratio (ASR= M:F)	83
<b>Figure 3.3:</b> Normal temperature-dependent baseline juvenile survival parameter, $a$ distributed along a temperature gradient from 18 to 33°C. ....	84
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> Actual probability of an individual moving a certain number of cells across a population matrix, according to two fat-tailed dispersal kernels (equation 8).....	86
<b>Figure 3.5:</b> Population persistence by temperature interval for genotypic sex determination (GSD) populations.....	90
<b>Figure 3.6:</b> Population persistence by temperature interval for temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) populations. ....	94
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> Actual probability of an individual moving a certain number of cells (dispersal distance) across a population matrix for two fat-tailed dispersal kernels (equation 8). ....	116
<b>Figure 4.2:</b> Population persistence by temperature interval for genotypic sex determination (GSD) populations without and with climate warming.....	118
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> Average population sizes and standard errors, for populations of reptiles with GSD with very small dispersal, for each individual column of the population matrix. ....	119
<b>Figure 4.4:</b> Population persistence by temperature interval for temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) populations without and with climate warming. ....	124
<b>Figure 4.5:</b> Average population sizes and standard errors, for temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) populations with very small dispersal, for each individual column of the population matrix.....	125

**Figure 4.6:** Contour maps of adult sex ratios (proportion male, from 0 to 1, right column) for populations of reptiles with TSD, with the very small level of two-sex dispersal (a) without climate warming, and (b) with climate warming..... 126

**Figure 4.7:** Contour maps of juvenile sex ratios (proportion males, from 0 to 1, right column) for populations of reptiles with TSD, with the very small level of two-sex dispersal (a) without climate warming, and (b) with climate warming.. ..... 127

**Figure 4.8:** The effect of different adult sex ratios on average population sizes in temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) populations with the very small level of two-sex dispersal, with no climate warming and climate warming. .... 128

## List of Tables

<b>Table 2.1:</b> Details of cohort sex ratio (CSR) response curves for the snow skink, painted turtle and loggerhead turtle. ....	39
<b>Table 2.2:</b> A description of the parameter space of baseline juvenile survival ( $a$ ) and the proportion of male offspring ( $p$ ) used to estimate the effects on population size (N).....	47
<b>Table 3.1:</b> Total numbers of surviving genotypic sex determination (GSD) populations by dispersal level for all temperatures. N.B. Total surviving populations were averaged based on ten replicates per dispersal level.....	89
<b>Table 3.2:</b> Total numbers of surviving temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) populations by dispersal level for all temperatures. N.B. Total surviving populations were averaged based on ten replicates per dispersal level. ....	95
<b>Table 3.3:</b> Chi-square analysis ( $\chi^2$ ) of the differences between the numbers of surviving populations for GSD and populations of reptiles with TSD.....	95
<b>Table 4.1:</b> Percentage of genotypic sex determination (GSD) populations with larger average population sizes with no climate warming compared with climate warming. The majority of populations had larger average population sizes with no climate warming. ....	123
<b>Table 4.2:</b> Percentage of temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) populations with larger average population sizes with no climate warming, compared with climate warming. The majority of populations had larger average population sizes with no climate warming.	123