



University of Canberra

This thesis is available in print format from the University of Canberra Library.

If you are the author of this thesis and wish to have the whole thesis loaded here, please contact the University of Canberra Library at *e-theses@canberra.edu.au* Your thesis will then be available on the www providing greater access.

**A PHYSIOLOGICAL COMPARISON BETWEEN STANDING CYCLING AND
RUNNING DURING AN INTERMEDIATE TERM ANAEROBIC CAPACITY
SESSION.**

Clayton Clews

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Applied Science at the University of Canberra.

Mar 2000

“We couldn’t maintain our normal training schedule on the running track, therefore we had to shift our attention to the gym, doing lots of weights to maintain her body strength and anaerobic work on the stationary bike”.

**Referring to Cathy Freeman, Olympic Silver Medallist 400m, 1996 Atlanta Games.
(The Australian Way, Sept 1998)**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the following people for their support whilst undertaking this Masters Thesis:

- Each study subject who formed the basis of this research.
- Associate Professor Alan Roberts who was my project supervisor and provided me with much valued advice in order to prepare this paper for final submission.
- Jo Sanders and Adrian Faccioni who enabled me access to their Honours and Masters papers which was of great assistance in me being able to correctly write this Thesis.
- Amanda Hooper, who assisted me in editing this paper for final submission.
- Phlebotomist, Mr Duncan Adams, who enabled me to perform the CK assessment.
- Groundsman, Mr Greg Dowd who marked and prepared the grassed surface used during the running test protocols.
- Biochemist, Dr Jo Beaver who kindly made herself available for questioning on matters related to CK procedures and interpretation of results.
- Statisticians, Mr Wayne Robinson, Dr Alice Robertson and Mr John Maindonald (from the Australian National University) who contributed greatly in me being able to complete the Results Section of this paper.
- To my parents Marlene and Tom Clews for providing continued support throughout my academic career.

ABSTRACT

This study wished to compare the same physiological responses of elite athletes to a typical intermediate term anaerobic capacity track running session with those of standing cycling of similar intensity and duration. Twelve well trained/elite male distance runners completed maximal running, standing cycling and strength testing sessions; and Intermediate Term Anaerobic Capacity Sessions (ITACS) in running and standing cycling; each comprising eight efforts of approximately 30 seconds duration at 90% maximal effort in each mode of activity, separated by 2 minutes rest.

The experimental sessions took place from the end of November 1996 to the beginning of March 1997. The subjects were required to attend three maximal experimental sessions, which were performed on separate days and used for baseline data collection. On completing these they participated in both running and standing cycling ITACS, performed on separate days with at least 48 hours between each test protocol. There was complete randomisation of all test protocols.

Descriptive statistics were determined for all the variables. Independent t-testing was used to determine if similar temperature and humidity readings were obtained during the maximal testing for each mode of activity. Paired t-testing was used to compare the differences in warmup heart rates between the maximal and ITACS, the differences in peak lactates obtained after each type of ITACS, draw comparisons between heart rate (HR) changes over time during the ITACS and determine if a difference existed between workloads for the two modes of activity. It was also used to draw a comparison between the peak BLa values and ascertain if pre-test creatine kinase (CK) levels were the same for each mode of activity. A repeated measures one way ANOVA was used to determine if workload reduced over time for each type of ITACS. A three way ANOVA with repeated measures on one factor (repetition) was performed on HR response. It was used to determine if there was a difference between the workload/recovery HR response; if workload/recovery HR values increased over the duration of each ITACS; and if the workload/recovery HR response over time was mode specific. A two way ANOVA with repeated measures on one factor (repetition) was performed on blood lactate (BLa) response. It was used to determine if there was a significant interaction between the mode of activity and time, if BLa increased over the duration of each ITACS and if there was an effect of mode on its own on the BLa response. A two way repeated measures ANOVA was used to ascertain whether there was a difference in CK levels between the two modes of activity, with Tukey's multiple comparison tests used in post hoc analyses to show the amount of difference. A linear regression analysis was performed to determine if BLa response was similar across the duration of each type of ITACS.

The effects of temperature (22.3 ± 1.2 vs 21.1 ± 0.3 °C, run vs cycle, $t = -0.94$, $n = 12$, $p = 0.36$) and humidity (57 ± 4.2 vs $52 \pm 1.7\%$, run versus cycle, $t = -1.04$, $n = 12$, $p = 0.31$) did not influence any of the results obtained during the ITACS. Nor did differing warmup intensities (as indicated by heart rate - HR) during the maximal (160 ± 5.7 vs 158 ± 3.1 beats per minute (bpm), run vs cycle, $t = -0.45$, $n = 9$, $p = 0.66$) and ITACS (160 ± 3.6 vs 152 ± 3.1 bpm, run vs cycle, $t = -2.81$, $n = 9$, $p = 0.02$). An equal test preparation was confirmed by the warmup blood lactate (BLa) levels, which were not significantly different between the exercise modes for both the maximal (11.0 ± 0.6 vs 11.8 ± 1.0 mmol·l⁻¹, run vs cycle, $t = 2.26$,

n = 10, p = 0.23) and ITACS (4.2 ± 0.7 vs 4.2 ± 0.6 mmol·l⁻¹, run vs cycle, t = 0.27, n = 10, p = 0.796).

A significantly higher workload was achieved during the running ITACS as compared to the standing cycling ITACS (105 ± 1.1 vs 89 ± 2.9 %, run vs cycle, t = 10.45, n = 12, p < 0.0005). The increase in workload/recovery HR response and their changes as each type of ITACS progressed was not mode specific [F(1,40) = 0.94, p > 0.05]. Those subjects who possessed high BLa concentrations performed less work on the cycle ergometer. There was a strong negative relationship for average workloads and BLa accumulation for the standing cycling exercise (Spearman's rho = -0.799, n = 11, p < 0.005) suggesting that BLa accumulation was a limiting factor in work production. The increase in BLa levels was not mode specific F(1,20) = 1.36, p > 0.05]. The BLa response was comparatively similar because the rate of increase in BLa accumulation and peak BLa values (19.7 vs 16.9 mmol·l⁻¹, cycle vs run, t = 2.1, n = 11, p = 0.06) were not significantly different between the modes of activity. Mode in conjunction with time affected standing cycling BLa response to a greater extent than running BLa levels [F(4,80) = 3.929, p < 0.05]. Standing cycling BLa concentrations were significantly negatively correlated with knee extension peak torque (Spearman's rho = -0.771, n = 11, p < 0.01) and total work (Spearman's rho = -0.802, n = 11, p < 0.01) measurements. In running they were negatively correlated with knee flexion total work measurements (Spearman rho = -0.685, n = 11, p < 0.05) These findings suggest that BLa accumulation occurs from different muscle fibre recruitment patterns. Less work was performed in isokinetic knee extension following standing cycling as compared to running (2234 ± 68.4 vs 2462 ± 78.9 Nm, t = 2.23, n = 11, p < 0.05) suggesting that standing cycling is more fatiguing on the quadriceps than running. There was no difference in the knee flexion testing (1799 ± 89.6 vs 1785 ± 69.2 , cycle vs run, t = 2.23, n = 11, p = 0.96). There was a significant difference in mean creatine kinase (CK) activity between the two modes 24 hours after completing the ITACS (450 ± 73.2 vs 320 ± 46.5 I/U, running vs cycle, F = 6.44, df = 1,17, p < 0.01). There was a significantly greater increase in CK activity and therefore muscle damage, following the running (mean increase of 190 I/U) as compared to the standing cycling session (mean increase of 44.0 I/U).

In terms of reducing the risk of injury, achieving a similar cardiovascular response and achieving comparable BLa accumulation (even though mechanism/s of accumulation may be different) standing cycling appears to be a satisfactory substitute for running during an ITACS. The results of this research strengthen the concept of utilising a *simulated* mode of activity as a substitute for the primary activity in order to maximise transfer effects, providing there is a *careful balance* between the specific training and the near specific training. The differing physiological responses between the exercise modes (ie- different muscle fibre recruitment patterns, different workload capacity, different CK measures) suggest that standing cycling cannot act as a total/comprehensive replacement for running. A training study is warranted to further investigate the findings of this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF REQUIREMENTS	iv
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP OF THESIS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
GLOSSARY	xv
ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Definition Of Cross Training	1
1.2 The Principle Of Specificity And The Cross Training Concept	1
2.0 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	2
2.1 PHYSIOLOGICAL COMPARISON BETWEEN DISSIMILAR MODES OF ACTIVITY	2
2.1.1 Transfer Effects Between Arm And Leg Exercise	3
2.1.2 Physiological Comparison Between Running And Front Crawl Swimming	5
2.1.3. Physiological Comparison Between Cycling And Front Crawl Swimming	13
2.1.4. The Influence Of Strength Training On Endurance Activities	16
2.1.5 Summary Of Physiological Comparison Between Dissimilar Modes Of Activity	20
Transfer Effects Between Arm And Leg Exercise:	20
Physiological Comparison Between Running And Front Crawl Swimming:	20
Physiological Comparison Between Cycling And Front Crawl Swimming:	21
The Influence Of Strength Training On Endurance Activities:	21
Conclusion:	22
2.2. PHYSIOLOGICAL COMPARISON BETWEEN SIMILAR MODES OF ACTIVITY	23
2.2.1 Physiological Comparison Between Cycling And Running	23
2.2.1.1 Physiological Effects Of Combined Running And Cycling Training:	28
2.2.2 Physiological Comparison Between Pool (Aqua) And Treadmill Running	30

2.2.3 Physiological Comparison Of Running And Cycling With Stair Climbing, Rowing And Skiing.	34
2.2.3.1 Transfer Effects Between Stair Climbing And Running :	34
2.2.3.2 Physiological Comparison Between Running And Cycling, Rowing or Skiing:	36
2.2.4 Physiological Comparison Between Running And Standing Cycling	36
2.2.5 Comparative Effects Of Cycling And Running On Physiological Responses At Ventilatory Threshold And On Lactic Acid Concentration	38
2.2.6 Summary Of Physiological Comparison Between Similar Modes Of Activity	40
Physiological Comparison Between Cycling And Running:	40
Physiological Effects Of Combined Running And Cycling Training:	41
Physiological Comparison Between Pool And Treadmill Running:	41
Physiological Comparison Of Running And Cycling With Stair Climbing, Rowing And Skiing:	42
Physiological Comparison Between Standing Cycling And Running:	42
Comparative Effects Of Cycling And Running On Physiological Responses At The Ventilatory Threshold And On Lactic Acid Concentration:	43
Conclusion:	43
3.0 PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY	44
4.0 DELIMITATIONS	44
5.0 LIMITATIONS	44
6.0 SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS STUDY	45
3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	46
3.1 SUBJECTS	46
3.2 FAMILIARISATION SESSION	46
3.3 EXPERIMENTAL SESSIONS	46
3.3.1 Procedures	47
3.3.1.1 Baseline Measures	47
Age	47

Mass	47
Stature	47
Temperature and Humidity	48
3.3.2 Maximal Testing	48
3.3.2.1 Warm Up Protocol	48
3.3.2.2 Standing Cycling Ergometer Tests	48
3.3.2.2.1 The Alactic Power And Work Index Tests	48
3.3.2.2.2 The Anaerobic Work Index Tests	49
3.3.2.3 Running Tests	49
3.3.2.3.1 80m and 200m Sprint Running Tests	49
3.3.2.4 Knee Flexion/Extension Strength Endurance Test	51
3.3.3 Intermediate Term Anaerobic Capacity Sessions (ITACS)	51
3.3.3.1 Warm Up Protocol	52
3.3.3.2 Running ITACS	52
3.3.3.3. Standing Cycling Session ITACS	53
3.3.3.4 Stride Rate vs Cadence	53
3.3.3.5 Blood Lactate Readings	53
3.3.3.5.1 Blood Sampling	54
3.3.3.5.2 Measurement of Blood Lactate	54
3.3.3.6 Heart Rate Readings	54
3.3.3.7 Knee Extension/Flexion Strength Endurance Test	55
3.3.3.8 Determination Of Creatine Kinase Activity	55
3.4 DATA ANALYSIS	56
4.0. RESULTS	58
4.1 SUBJECTS	58
4.2 BASELINE DATA AND COMPARISONS	58
4.2.1 Environmental Conditions	58
4.2.2 Parameters Investigated During The Maximal Experimental Sessions	61
4.2.2.1 Warm Up Heart Rates	61
4.2.2.2 Run Time And Work Performed	65
4.2.2.3 Blood Lactate Analysis After Maximal 200m Running And 30 Second Standing Cycling Efforts	65
4.2.2.4. Maximal Isokinetic Testing (Muscular Strength- Endurance Testing)	65

4.2.3 Warmup Heart Rates And Blood Lactates Achieved During The ITACS	65
4.3 COMPARISON BETWEEN PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES RECORDED DURING AND AFTER THE INTERMEDIATE TERM ANAEROBIC CAPACITY SESSIONS	70
4.3.1. Work Performance Analysis	70
4.3.2. Heart Rate Analysis	73
4.3.3 Lactate Analysis	76
4.3.4. Analysis Of Work Performed On The Cybex In Knee Extension/Flexion Following ITACS.	78
4.3.5. Creatine Kinase Analysis	84
4.3.6. Interactive Effects Between Variables	87
4.3.6.1. Interactive Effects Between Cybex Workload And Lactate Measures.	87
5.0 DISCUSSION	89
5.1 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	89
5.2 COMPARISON BETWEEN PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES RECORDED DURING AND AFTER THE INTERMEDIATE TERM ANAEROBIC CAPACITY SESSIONS.	90
5.2.1. Work Performed	90
5.2.2. Heart Rate	91
5.2.3 Blood Lactates	93
5.2.4 Isokinetic Work Performance During Knee Extension/Flexion Following The ITACS	94
5.2.5 Creatine Kinase	95
5.3 EFFECTIVENESS OF STANDING CYCLING AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR RUNNING DURING AN ITACS	96
5.4 FUTURE DIRECTIONS	97
5.5 CONCLUSIONS	98
6.0 REFERENCES	99

7.0 APPENDICES

106

APPENDIX A	a
Procedures	
A.1 Consent Form	a
A.2 Warmup Protocol For Maximal And ITACS Test Sessions	a
A.3 Running Results Sheet	a
Standing Cycling Results Sheet	a
A.4 Dates of Maximal Test Data Collection	a
ITACS Test Dates	a
APPENDIX B	b
Subject Data	b
Lifetime Personal Bests	b
APPENDIX C	c
Environmental Conditions	c
Standing Cycling Test Sessions	c
Running Test Sessions	c
APPENDIX D	d
D.1 Warmup Heart Rates	d
D.2 Maximal Test Session Workload Data	d
D.3 Blood Lactates Recorded During The Maximal 200m Running Test And The Maximal 30 Second Standing Cycle Ergometer Test ($\text{mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$)	d
APPENDIX E	e
E.1 Target And Achieved Workloads During The Running ITACS Target And Actual Workloads Achieved During The Standing Cycling ITACS	e e
E.2 Pre-test Running And Standing Cycling ITACS Heart Rates	e
Workload Heart Rates Achieved During Running ITACS	e
Workload Heart Rates Achieved During Standing Cycling ITACS	e
Recovery Heart Rates Achieved During Running ITACS	e
Recovery Heart Rates Achieved During The Standing Cycling ITACS	e
E.3 Lactates Achieved During Standing Cycling ITACS Lactates Achieved During Running ITACS	e e
E.4 Knee Extension: Total work	e
Knee Flexion: Total work	e
Knee Extension: Peak torque	e
Knee Flexion: Peak torque	e
E.5 Creatine Kinase Levels (U/L)	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Longitudinal studies examining transfer effects of $\dot{V}O_2$ between arm and leg trained subjects during arm and leg submaximal testing.	6
Table 2.2: Longitudinal studies examining transfer effects of HR between arm and leg trained subjects during arm and leg submaximal testing.	7
Table 2.3: Longitudinal studies examining transfer effects of $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ between arm and leg trained subjects during arm and leg maximal testing	8
Table 2.4: Longitudinal studies examining transfer effects of HR between arm and leg trained subjects during arm and leg maximal testing.	9
Table 2.5: Cross sectional studies examining maximal HR and achieved by different subjects in running, front crawl swimming and cycling.	11
Table 2.6: Longitudinal studies showing comparisons between $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ values for swim trained, swim benched trained, run trained and control subjects tested in swimming and running.	14
Table 2.7: Longitudinal studies showing comparisons between maximal HR values for swim trained, run trained or control subjects tested in front crawl swimming and running.	15
Table 2.8: Longitudinal studies analysing the effects of strength training on $\dot{V}O_2$ and performance.	19
Table 2.9: Cross sectional studies examining maximal HR and $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ achieved by generally fit subjects, runners and cyclists during running and cycling laboratory testing.	26
Table 2.10: Longitudinal studies examining transfer effects of run training, cycle training or a combination of run and cycle training to run and cycle test maximal HR and $\dot{V}O_2$.	31
Table 2.11: Cross sectional studies comparing HR and $\dot{V}O_2$ in running subjects during pool and treadmill running tests.	33
Table 2.12: Longitudinal studies showing the influence of pool running training to treadmill running $\dot{V}O_2$ and HR.	35
Table 2.13: Summary data for $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, maximal HR and maximal BLA for runners, rowers and untrained subjects tested during treadmill running and standing cycling (using a Monark ergometer).	39
Table 3.1: Type and sequence of data collected during each ITACS.	52

Table 4.1: Mean and ranges for age, stature, mass and lifetime personal best 5000m time (n=12). SE is included for stature and mass.	59
Table 4.2: Mean air temperature and humidity \pm SE and ranges for the running and standing cycling maximal test sessions.	60
Table 4.3: Mean air temperature and humidity \pm SE and ranges recorded during the ITACS (n=12).	62
Table 4.4: Mean \pm SE peak HR and ranges achieved during warm up in preparation for maximal test sessions (n=9).	63
Table 4.5: Mean workloads \pm SE and ranges achieved during maximal test sessions (n=12).	66
Table 4.6: Mean \pm SE and range of peak BLa achieved following the 200m run efforts and 30 second standing cycling efforts (n=10).	67
Table 4.7: Mean \pm SE and ranges for peak torque and total work achieved during the maximal isokinetic extension/flexion tests (n=11).	68
Table 4.8: Mean \pm SE peak HR and ranges achieved during warm up in preparation for the ITACS (n=9).	69
Table 4.9: Mean target workloads; mean achieved workloads \pm SE and percentage of target workload achieved during the ITACS. Relevant ranges incorporated (n=12).	71
Table 4.10: Mean workload and recovery HR \pm SE and ranges achieved during the ITACS (n=12).	74
Table 4.11: Mean BLa values \pm SE and ranges obtained during the running and standing cycling ITACS.	77
Table 4.12: Regression for increase in BLa levels according to trial number for standing cycling and running ITACS.	80
Table 4.13: Mean \pm SE and ranges for peak torque and total work performed on the Cybex in knee extension/flexion following the ITACS (n=11).	81
Table 4.14: Mean \pm SE and ranges for pre and post test CK levels, for both running	

and standing cycling (inc. percentage increase in CK levels) (n=9). 85

Table 4.15: Spearman's correlation coefficients and probabilities for
difference in Cybex test results after ITACS with post test BLA levels 88

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 3.1:** These photographs show three angles of a study subject 'standing cycling' on an EX-10-Front Entry Cycle Ergometer. Note: The feet are locked into position using toe stirrups. The work monitor unit shown in the top photograph was used to monitor the intensity of each 30 second effort and record achieved workload. 50
- Figure 4.1:** Mean peak warm up HR achieved prior to commencing the maximal and ITACS. Refer to Tables 4.4 and 4.8 for SE. 64
- Figure 4.2:** Comparison between the mean work achieved for each repetition across the duration of each ITACS. Refer to Appendix E.2. for SE. 72
- Figure 4.3:** Comparison between mean HR for each workload and recovery period across duration of ITACS. (Error bars have not been used due to them decreasing clarity of graph. Refer to Appendix E.1. for SE). 75
- Figure 4.4:** Mean BLa values obtained before and during each ITACS. Refer to Table 4.11 for SE. 79
- Figure 4.5:** Comparison between total work data for isokinetic knee extension and flexion. Refer to Table 4.7 and 4.14 for SE. 82
- Figure 4.6:** Comparison between peak torque data in isokinetic knee extension and flexion. Refer to Table 4.7 and 4.14 for SE. 83
- Figure 4.7:** Mean \pm SE CK measures before and after each ITACS. Refer to Table 4.15 for SE. 86

GLOSSARY

Selected terms used in text

Anaerobic Threshold: represents a particular workload, during a test to measure exercise tolerance, where blood lactate levels first begin to rise above their resting levels.

Anaerobic: conditions or processes not requiring oxygen.

Blood Lactate ($\text{mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$): concentration of lactate, the dissociation product of lactic acid in the blood. A product of anaerobic metabolism.

Cardiac Output ($\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$): the amount of blood pumped in one minute by either the left or right ventricle of the heart ; the product of the heart rate and stroke volume.

Central Circulatory Adaptations: refers to adaptations which increase blood volume, erythrocyte production, stroke volume and maximal cardiac output. These factors increase the maximal capacity of the central circulatory system to transport oxygen.

Creatine Kinase: an enzyme used as an indicator of skeletal muscle damage.

Cross Training: refers to the participation of an individual in a mode of training alternative to the one normally used. That is, the activity is not task or training specific. It can also involve the combining of an additional training mode with task specific training.

Cycle Ergometer: a stationary bicycle apparatus used for measuring the physiological effects of exercise. Work is commonly measured in watts and kilojoules.

Dissimilar Activities: refers to exercise modes which when compared utilise different muscle groups and/or markedly different patterns of movement (ie- running vs swimming; leg vs arm exercise; strength training vs endurance exercise).

Duathlete: an athlete who trains in both running and cycling for competitions which combine both activities.

Heart Rate (bpm): the number of times the heart beats per minute, or single cardiac cycles of systole and diastole per minute.

Intermediate Term Anaerobic Capacity: total work output during maximal exercise lasting about 30 seconds. Performance under these conditions is primarily anaerobic with a major lactic component (about 70%), and significant alactic (about 15%) and aerobic (about 15%) components.

Metabolic Cost: the sum total of energy consumed over the duration of an activity.

Oxygen Uptake ($\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ or $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$): the consumption and utilisation of oxygen by the body.

Peripheral Adaptations: refers to adaptations in the exercising muscles which enhance oxygen utilisation. These adaptations include increased capillary density, mitochondrial number and volume, oxidative enzyme activity and arterial–venous oxygen difference. Peripheral adaptations are more strongly associated with specificity of training.

Similar Activities: refers to exercise modes which when compared utilise similar muscle groups and patterns of movement which do not differ markedly from the primary mode of activity (ie – running vs cycling; pool running vs land running; stair climbing vs running; standing cycling vs running).

Specificity: refers to adaptations in the metabolic and physiologic systems in response to the type of overload imposed. That is, specific exercise elicits specific adaptations creating specific training effects.

Stroke Volume (ml): the amount of blood pumped by the left or right ventricle of the heart per beat.

Submaximal Exercise: exercise demanding less than the maximal oxygen consumption of the performer.

Ventilatory Threshold: represents a particular workload, whereby an increase in blood lactate concentration creates an additionally powerful ventilatory response.

VO₂max: equates to the maximum amount of oxygen that an organism can be stimulated to extract from the atmosphere and then transport it to the tissue. It is quantitatively equivalent to the maximum amount of oxygen that can be consumed per unit of time by an individual during large muscle mass exercise of progressively increasing intensity that is continued until exhaustion. It is usually expressed as absolute volume per minute ($L \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) for sports such as rowing, in which total work output is important, and as volume per minute relative to body weight ($\text{ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$), such as running, in which body mass is supported during the performance.

Work (J): the product of force times the distance through which that force moves a load.

Workload: the amount of work set for each subject to complete; or the amount of work achieved by each subject on completion of a test.

ABBREVIATIONS

Selected abbreviations used throughout the text

BLa: blood lactate

bpm: beats per minute

CK: creatine kinase

cm: centimetre

°C: temperature in degrees centigrade

HR: heart rate/heart rates

U/L: units per litre

ITACS: intermediate term anaerobic capacity session/s

kJ: kilojoules

kms: kilometres

L·min⁻¹: litres per minute

ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹: millilitres per kilogram per minute

mmol·l⁻¹: millimoles per litre

NA: not applicable

Nm: newton metres

Tvent: ventilatory threshold

$\dot{V}O_2$: oxygen uptake / consumption

$\dot{V}O_{2max}$: maximal oxygen uptake

vs: versus

W: watts