

Controls on salt mobility and storage in the weathered Jurassic dolerites of north-east Tasmania.

Margaret Sweeney
March 2016



Weathered dolerite in the Great Western Tiers, Tasmania. Painted by M. Sweeney 6th March 2016

This thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in Applied Science at the University of Canberra,
Australian Capital Territory, Australia

Acknowledgments

This project would not have been possible without the support of my awesome primary supervisor Leah Moore and Tony Spandler (Monitor Hydrometric Solutions), who gave invaluable advice in designing the project, assisted with building and installing the collectors and collected the monthly samples for me (along with Laura, Libby, Nick and Amy Spandler).

I am grateful for the hours of assistance and advice provided to me by Leah Moore, Ken McQueen and Frank Krikowa from the Institute for Applied Ecology and would like to thank my enthusiastic field assistants including Leah Moore, Ben Sweeney, Catherine Halloran, Alie Cowood, Wayne Cook, Shaun Philippa and Mary Spandler.

Thanks to Carl Spandler (JCU) and Robert Rapp (ANU), for assistance with the microprobe, Ulrike Troitzsch (ANU) for help with clay separation and XRD analysis and Pat Ceeney and Tom Long (UC) for allowing me to use field equipment and chemicals.

I am particularly grateful to the Tasmanian land managers and owners; Mrs Helen Scott (Hillwoodville), Elizabeth and Laurie Fernley (Valley View), Jenny and Kerry Rice (Heathcote), Carlton Cox and Melissa (Perth Forestry Nursery), Peter Wilson (HydroTas, Poatina), Break O Day Council (Fingal), Rex and Lorraine Anderson (Avoca), Jane and Ewan Taylor (Greenhill), Phil Goringe (Lake Leake) and Justin Burbury (Inverell), who allowed me to use their land for my collectors and also gave great inside knowledge on local soils and weather processes.

Thanks to all my HDR friends from the IAE, Alan, Cat, Sally, Teresa, Adrian, Dani, Rod, Jonas, Alie and Andrew, from whom I took many pearls of wisdom and shared some good laughs.

Special thanks to the amazing Ben Sweeney for his continued support and patience.

Abstract

In Tasmania most salts in the landscape originate from precipitation and accumulate in landscapes after evaporation occurs. Changes in land use and vegetation due to agriculture, forestry practices and urbanisation can accelerate salinity effects leading to land degradation and potentially threatening infrastructure and ecosystems. Researchers of salinity hazards (Moore *et al.*, 2014) identified that salinisation was associated with deeply weathered dolerite in some regions around Launceston. Previous studies did not consider dolerite to be a significant salt store, meaning that the volume of salt stored in the landscapes may have been underestimated. There also has not been research into the geomorphic and structural controls on salinity and the flux of salt into the region.

The chemical composition of rainwater from an array of bulk deposition collectors was studied from Spring 2013 to Winter 2014. The average salt flux was 79 ± 10 kg/ha/yr in the study region, ranging from 170 ± 12 kg/ha/yr in the north to 42 ± 6 kg/ha/yr inland. The sites closer to the north and east coast were more influenced by marine sources compared to samples collected inland and during drier months, that had a stronger terrestrial influence. The geomorphic factors affect the chemical composition, volume of rainfall and flux of salt from windblown dust and oceanic aerosols.

The geochemical composition and mineralogy of dolerite-derived regolith has been examined in order to understand the association between salinity and weathered dolerite. Dolerite breaks down to form smectite (mostly montmorillonite), kaolinite and Fe-bearing sesquioxides. Salt can be stored in the pore spaces between these minerals, by adsorption and in their interlayer spaces (smectite). The electrical conductivity of 1:5 soil/waters suspensions is higher in the more weathered dolerite material (maximum 4.9 dS/m). The clay content and salinity of the dolerite regolith profiles varies, depending on the local geomorphic context. There are fault-bounded pockets of colluvium and highly-weathered, *in-situ* dolerite material, where 2:1 montmorillonite clays dominate. These regions have the capacity to store large volumes of salts.

By exploring the complex interactions of geomorphology and other biophysical parameters the study area has been divided into Hydrogeological Landscape (HGL) units. The HGL units have a range of structural and geomorphic controls which affect the configuration of the regolith (salt

store) and where there are impediments to flow. Associated conceptual models describe the regolith distribution, how and where salt is stored and how water moves through the landscapes.

The amount of salt moving through the surface catchments has been examined using the bulk deposition model as inputs, and loads calculated from flow and electrical conductivity data from stream gauging sites as outputs. Sub-catchments importing more salt than exporting, have high salt stores, and geomorphic features that impede the flow of water leading to higher rates of evaporation. Sub-catchments with greater outputs than inputs are characterised by high rainfall and steep slopes combined with either low salt stores (well- or moderately well-drained soils and thin regolith cover) or moderate to high salt availability or both.

By examining climate change models, it is predicted that there will be an increase in salt deposition and a larger volume of salt stored in the regolith in regions that are low-lying and have geomorphic impediments to flow (very high risk HGLs). This will impact most upon those regions that are currently close to equilibrium (i.e. those that currently fluctuate between exporting and storing salt). Unless the land susceptible to salinisation is managed carefully, a greater area will be impacted by land and water salinisation.

Table of contents

Acknowledgments	i
Abstract	iii
Table of contents	v
List of figures	ix
List of tables	xv
List of appendices	xvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Dryland salinity in Tasmania	1
1.1.1 Introduction to the scale of the problem	1
1.1.2 Ecological impacts of salinity.....	3
1.2 The study region.....	4
1.3 Background	4
1.3.1 Causes of salinity	4
1.3.2 Types of salinity.....	5
1.3.3 Units of measurement	8
1.3.4 Tasmanian salinity studies	10
1.3.5 NAPSQ studies.	11
1.3.6 Groundwater Flow Systems (GFS).....	11
1.3.7 Structural geology and landscape evolution	13
1.3.8 Previous work on weathering of dolerite.....	16
1.3.9 Geomorphology and regolith landscapes mapping.....	16
1.3.10 Climate and climate change in Tasmania.....	17
1.3.11 Land use changes	20
1.4 Rationale	21
1.5 Aims	22
1.6 Structure of the thesis.....	23
1.7 Outcomes	24
Chapter 2 Atmospheric deposition in north-east Tasmania	25
2.1 Abstract	25
2.2 Introduction.....	26
2.2.1 Previous work.....	27
2.3 Methods.....	28
2.3.1 Collector design.....	30

2.3.2	Collection procedures	30
2.3.3	Climate and air quality data	31
2.3.4	Analytical methods	32
2.3.5	Calculations	33
2.3.6	Quality assurance.....	33
2.3.7	Data quality.....	33
2.3.8	Statistical methods	34
2.4	Results.....	36
2.4.1	Data validation.....	36
2.4.2	Rainfall volumes and patterns	37
2.4.3	Rainfall chemistry of NE Tasmania.....	42
2.4.4	Multivariate statistical (principal component) analysis	45
2.4.5	Spatial variation.....	47
2.4.6	Seasonal variation.....	57
2.5	Discussion.....	63
2.5.1	Determination of chemical sources.....	63
2.5.2	Dry deposition estimate	68
2.5.3	Temporal variation in accession over longer periods of time	70
2.5.4	Other Australian rainfall studies	72
2.5.5	Modelling salt deposition	75
2.5.6	Further work	78
2.6	Conclusions.....	79
Chapter 3 Weathering of dolerite.....		81
3.1	Abstract	81
3.2	Introduction.....	82
3.2.1	Previous work.....	83
3.2.2	Geological and geomorphological setting	84
3.2.3	Study objectives.....	86
3.3	Methods.....	86
3.3.1	Sampling procedures	86
3.3.2	Water sampling	87
3.3.3	Analytical methods	87
3.3.4	Calculations	89
3.3.5	Quality assurance.....	91
3.4	Results.....	92
3.4.1	Data validation.....	92
3.4.2	Classification of dolerite regolith	93
3.4.3	Primary mineralogy	94
3.4.4	Secondary mineralogy	101

3.4.5 Geochemistry.....	109
3.4.6 Salinity in profiles	118
3.5 Discussion.....	125
3.5.1 Composition of dolerite.....	125
3.5.2 Weathering of primary minerals	125
3.5.3 Secondary mineral weathering	128
3.5.4 Element mobilisation in dolerite.....	130
3.5.5 Context of weathering.....	134
3.5.6 Chemical composition of reacting fluids.....	136
3.5.7 Relevance to salinity.....	136
3.5.8 Further work	139
3.6 Conclusions.....	139
Chapter 4 Hydrogeological Landscapes mapping	143
4.1 Abstract.....	143
4.2 Introduction.....	143
4.2.1 Previous Tasmanian salinity characterisation	144
4.2.2 Structural geology and landscape evolution	146
4.2.3 Geomorphology mapping.....	146
4.2.4 Distribution of regolith and soils	149
4.2.5 The hydrogeological landscapes (HGL) approach	151
4.2.6 Objectives	152
4.3 Methods.....	153
4.3.1 Stage 1: Preliminary map.....	153
4.3.2 Stage 2: Refining unit boundaries and production of conceptual models.....	156
4.3.3 Specialist information and data	161
4.3.4 Stage 3: Management framework.....	163
4.3.5 Validating the map and models.....	163
4.4 Results.....	163
4.4.1 Features of the HGL map of north-east Tasmania	163
4.4.2 Validation of the HGL map.....	166
4.4.3 Salinity mapping.....	170
4.5 Discussion.....	175
4.5.1 Mapping validation.....	175
4.5.2 Priority regions for salinity management.....	177
4.5.3 Structural geological controls on salinity and unit boundaries.....	181
4.5.4 Comparison of HGL units on dolerite	183
4.5.5 Other rock types.....	199
4.5.6 Use of HGL map and limitations.....	199
4.6 Further work.....	200

4.7 Conclusions.....	200
Chapter 5 Salt budgets.....	203
5.1 Abstract.....	203
5.2 Introduction.....	203
5.2.1 Defining catchments and sub-catchments.....	204
5.2.2 Millennium Drought.....	205
5.3 Methods.....	205
5.3.1 Surface catchment delineation.....	205
5.3.2 Salt deposition loads (inputs).....	207
5.3.3 Salt exports for each sub-catchment (outputs).....	207
5.3.4 Loads during and after the Millennium Drought.....	209
5.4 Results.....	210
5.4.1 Validation of modelling techniques.....	210
5.4.2 Regression analysis of relationship between flows and loads.....	212
5.4.3 Meander catchment.....	214
5.4.4 Brumby's catchment.....	217
5.4.5 Macquarie catchment.....	220
5.4.6 South Esk Catchment.....	223
5.4.7 North Esk catchment.....	225
5.4.8 The Millennium Drought and beyond.....	227
5.5 Discussion.....	229
5.5.1 Salt budgets.....	229
5.5.2 Negative salt balance sub-catchments ($O/I > 1$).....	231
5.5.3 Positive salt balance sub-catchments ($O/I > 1$).....	233
5.5.4 Neutral salt balance sub-catchments ($O/I \sim 1$).....	236
5.5.5 Future scenarios.....	239
5.5.6 Limitations of analysis.....	241
5.6 Conclusions.....	242
Chapter 6 Overall research conclusions.....	243
6.1 Key findings.....	243
6.2 Summary of conclusions and implications of research.....	244
6.2.1 Salt deposition.....	244
6.2.2 Dolerite weathering.....	245
6.2.3 Hydrogeological landscapes (HGL) mapping.....	247
6.2.4 Salt budgets.....	248
6.3 Further research.....	249
6.4 Concluding statement.....	250
References.....	251

List of figures

Figure 1. Salt damaged brickwork on the side of a house south of Launceston..	1
Figure 2. Maps of Tasmania a) indicating the study region in green and surface catchments, adapted from TASMAR (2012); b) showing the regions affected by land and water salinity in red and c) the NAPSWQ priority area.	2
Figure 3. Geological map of Tasmania, (Mineral Resources Tasmania, 2011) showing Jurassic Dolerite terrain in orange and the Tamar Fracture Zone (TFZ) in East of Tasmania, (Stacey and Berry, 2004)..	14
Figure 4. Total rainfall for 2012 in Tasmania (Bureau of Meteorology, 2013).	18
Figure 5. Schematic illustration of the structure of the thesis.	23
Figure 6. Location of study area and bulk deposition collector array and site names.	28
Figure 7. Photograph of bulk deposition collector from the side and top and diagram of design.	30
Figure 8. Regression of a) Total anions with cations and b) Total dissolved solids calculated from electrical conductivity (EC) with Total dissolved ions (TDI).	36
Figure 9. Rainfall for the 12 month period September 2013 to August 2014 at bulk deposition sites compared to mean annual rainfall at closest Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) sites.	38
Figure 10. Average deviations from mean monthly rainfall at seven BOM weather stations in north-east Tasmania (September 2013-August 2014).	39
Figure 11. Spatial pattern of rainfall volumes.	39
Figure 12. Average 9am, 3pm and maximum wind directions from seven BOM weather stations in north-east Tasmania, September 1st 2013 to August 31st 2014.	40
Figure 13. Direction of rainfall origin in north-east Tasmania, September 2013 to August 2014.	40
Figure 14. Average PM10 –PM2.5 values, in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, September 2013 to August 2014 from north-east Tasmania.	41
Figure 15. The mean magnitude of a) major chemical constituents concentrations b) minor and c) trace ions from trimonthly and monthly samples.	43
Figure 16. Volume of rainfall versus a) Na and chloride concentrations b) EC and c) total dissolved ions (TDI) from ICPMS analyses; for monthly and trimonthly bulk deposition samples.	44
Figure 17. Principal components of bulk deposition for each site.	46
Figure 18. Principal components of bulk deposition for sites divided by geographical location.	46
Figure 19. Principal components of bulk deposition for each season.	47
Figure 20. Deposition of salt onto north-east Tasmania, September 1 st 2013 to August 31 st 2014.	48
Figure 21. Monthly salt accession at sites 1, 4 and 10 calculated from EC for September 1 st 2013 to August 31 st 2014).	49
Figure 22. Correlation between deposition and a) distance to the coast and b) distance to the north coast.	49
Figure 23. Boxplots of distribution of element deposition a) Ca, b), Mg, c) Na, d) K, e) SO_4 and f) Cl^- .	51
Figure 24. Boxplots of spatial distribution of element deposition a) B, b), Al, c) Si, d) Mn, e) Co, f) Cu, g) Zn, h) Rb, i) Sr, j) Mo and k) Ba in the bulk deposition samples from each site.	52
Figure 25. The average deposition in $\text{kg}/\text{ha}/\text{yr}$ of major chemical constituents as a function of distance to the north coast a) calcium, b) Mg, c) Na, d) K, e) SO_4 and f) Cl^- .	53

Figure 26. Boxplots, with logarithmic Y axis, displaying site ratios of the major element ratios a) Na/Ca b) Ca/Mg, c) Ca/Cl d) K/Na, e) Mg/Na and f) Cl/Na, compared to Tasmanian sea water and soil/water suspensions.	55
Figure 27. Elemental ratios as a function of distance to the north coast a) Na ^{Cl} , b) Ca/Mg, c) Ca/Cl d) K/Na, e)Mg/Na and f) Cl/Na.	56
Figure 28. Proportion of total annual salt deposition for all ten sites attributed to each season for spring 2013 to winter 2014, from EC calculations.	57
Figure 29. Boxplots of spring 2013-winter 2014 seasonal element deposition of a) Ca, b), Mg, c) Na, d) K, e) SO ₄ and f) Cl.	58
Figure 30. Piper Diagram of seasonal pattern of bulk deposition compositions.	59
Figure 31. Boxplots of seasonal element deposition of a) B, b), Al, c) Si, d) Mn, e) Co, f) Cu, g) Zn, h) Rb, i) Sr, j) Mo and k) Ba in the trimonthly and monthly bulk deposition samples.	60
Figure 32. Boxplots, with logarithmic Y axis, displaying seasonal ratios of a) Na/Ca b) Ca/Mg, c) Ca ^{Cl} d) K/Na, e) Mg/Na and f) ^{Cl} /Na compared to Tasmanian sea water and soil/water suspensions.	62
Figure 33. Salt (measured from EC), Cl and Na deposition against volume of rain.	69
Figure 34. Conceptual diagrams of the influences on the chemistry of bulk deposition in north-east Tasmania.	72
Figure 35. Average Cl deposition as a function of distance from the sea from this study and other Tasmanian precipitation chemistry studies (Ayers & Ivey, 1988; Grose, 2003; Kidd, 2003; Moreton, 2003), compared to the estimated Cl deposition using the model of Keywood et al. (1997).	73
Figure 36. Point data of average Cl deposition from this study and other Tasmanian precipitation chemistry studies (Ayers & Ivey, 1988; Grose, 2003; Kidd, 2003; Moreton, 2003), compared to the estimated Cl deposition using the model of Keywood et al. (1997)..	73
Figure 37. Chloride deposition from south-east Australian atmospheric chemistry studies.	75
Figure 38. Cl- and salt deposition against, a) distance to north coast and b) distance from sea.	76
Figure 39. Average Cl deposition against salt deposition in Tasmania from this study and other Tasmanian precipitation chemistry studies (Grose, 2003; Kidd, 2003; Moreton, 2003).	77
Figure 40. Models to estimate a) salt deposition from distance to the north coast b) Cl deposition from distance to the sea compared to measured values in kg/ha/yr for eastern Tasmania.	78
Figure 41. A dolerite corestone showing spheroidal (onion-skin) weathering from the Woodbury regolith profile, northern Midlands, Tasmania.	82
Figure 42. a) Map of Tasmania, showing dolerite terrain in orange (Mineral Resources Tasmania, 2011) location of the Tamar Fracture Zone (TFZ) (Stacey and Berry, 2004) and b) location of regolith profiles sampled (indicated by triangles).	85
Figure 43. Sampling method of regolith around corestone, from least weathered out to most weathered rock and soil, Breadalbane, Tasmania.	87
Figure 44. Total cations and anions from ICPMS analyses showing that the anion concentrations are slightly higher than cation concentrations in most of the stream samples.	92
Figure 45. Map of extent of Jurassic dolerite in Tasmania (Mineral Resources Tasmania, 2011) showing location of sites from this study (Breadalbane in black, Woodbury in blue) and previous geochemical investigations in green, pink and red (McDougall, 1962, Hergt and Brauns, 2001).	93

Figure 46. Pyroxene compositions from 4 regolith profiles as determined by microprobe analysis..	94
Figure 47. Thin section photomicrographs of Woodbury Corestone (W1.CS) under crossed polarised light showing enstatite crystals containing exsolution lamellae of augite (typical herringbone texture), indicating breakdown of pigeonite during crystallisation (inverted pigeonite).	95
Figure 48. Feldspar compositions from 4 regolith profiles as determined by microprobe analysis. Plagioclase is relatively enriched in Ca and orthoclase enriched in K compared to Na.	95
Figure 49. Average proportion of minerals in the least weathered dolerites from Breadalbane (a) and Dilston (b) determined by XRD analysis.	97
Figure 50. Thin sections photomicrographs (in cross polarised light) of quartz dolerite corestone at a) Breadalbane, b) Dilston, c) Woodbury and d) Deviot.	99
Figure 51. Average proportion of minerals in the least weathered dolerites from Woodbury and Deviot determined by XRD analysis.	100
Figure 52. Electron backscattered image of the graphic intergrowth of quartz and orthoclase in a corestone from Woodbury (sample W2CS).	101
Figure 53. Thin sections photomicrographs (in cross polarised light) of weathered quartz dolerite at a) Breadalbane, b) Dilston, c) Woodbury and d) Deviot.	102
Figure 54. Electron backscattered image of hornblende and magnetite crystals surrounded by plagioclase and clay minerals from a weathered rind from a Breadalbane corestone (sample BOS.4).	104
Figure 55. Quantitative XRD analysis of mineralogy from Breadalbane profile regolith samples.	105
Figure 56. Quantitative XRD analysis of mineralogy from Dilston profile regolith samples.	105
Figure 57. Quantitative XRD analysis of mineralogy from Woodbury profile regolith samples.	106
Figure 58. Quantitative XRD analysis of mineralogy from Deviot profile regolith samples.	106
Figure 59. The average proportion of minerals in the soil A horizons from Breadalbane, Dilston, Woodbury, Deviot and Maitland Dam, determined by XRD analysis.	108
Figure 60. Quantitative XRD analysis of mineralogy from Maitland Dam profile samples.	108
Figure 61. Isocon diagrams of element loss from weathering compared to least weathered equivalent at Breadalbane profile.	110
Figure 62. Isocon diagrams of element loss from weathering compared to least weathered equivalent at Woodbury profile.	111
Figure 63. Element losses in the samples closest to the surface, (in the organic rich soil) compared to least weathered equivalents for a) Breadalbane and b) Woodbury.	112
Figure 64. Piper plots of composition of creek water draining the regolith profiles at Breadalbane, Woodbury, Deviot, Dilston and Maitland Dam. Cations (Ca, Mg and Na + K) and anions (SO ₄ , Cl and CO ₃ + HCO ₃) are shown in separate ternary plots as%.	113
Figure 65. Boxplots of element concentrations of a) Na, b) Mg, c) Ca, d) K, e) SO ₄ , f) Cl, g) Al, h) Si, i) Ti, j) Fe and k) Mn from ICPMS analyses of pore water and creek samples at Breadalbane, Woodbury, Deviot and Dilston.	115
Figure 66. Boxplots with element concentrations of a) Li, b) B, c) Va, d) Cr, e) Co, f) Ni, g) Cu, h) Zn, i) gallium, j) arsenic, k) Rb l) Sr, m) Y, n) Zr, o) Nb and p) Ba from ICPMS analyses of pore water and creek samples at Breadalbane, Woodbury, Deviot and Dilston.	116

Figure 67. Ternary plots of a) major cation proportions (Ca, Mg and Na + K) from ICPMS analyses of pore water and stream water samples at Breadalbane, Woodbury, Deviot and Dilston.....	117
Figure 68. Boxplots of a) electrical conductivity (dS/m) with logarithmic Y axis and b) acidity, from pore water and creek samples at Breadalbane, Dilston, Woodbury and Deviot.....	118
Figure 69. Breadalbane regolith profile, showing changes in weathering intensity and soil characteristics with depth and progressive weathering, including in the corestone (sample B.CS).	120
Figure 70. Woodbury regolith profile, showing changes in weathering intensity and soil characteristics with depth and progressive weathering, including in the corestone (sample W2.CS).	121
Figure 71. Dilston regolith profile, showing changes in density and soil characteristics with depth and progressive weathering, in the corestone (sample A.CS).	122
Figure 72. Deviot regolith profile, showing changes in density and soil characteristics with depth and progressive weathering, including in the corestone (sample D.CS).....	123
Figure 73. Maitland Dam regolith profile, showing changes in soil characteristics with depth.....	124
Figure 74. Diffusion processes leading to corestone salinity	133
Figure 75. Simplified diagram summarizing the controls on secondary mineralisation at Breadalbane, Dilston, Woodbury and Deviot regolith profiles.....	134
Figure 76. Simplified diagram summarizing the controls on secondary mineralization at Maitland Dam regolith profile.	135
Figure 77. Cross sections of the faulting in Tamar valley a) adapted from Longman (1966) and b) at Launceston adapted from Calver and Forsyth (2005).....	138
Figure 78. Conceptual diagram of how the geomorphology controls the nature of weathering and material for salt storage..	138
Figure 79. Groundwater flow systems in the study area (Hocking et al., 2005a, Hocking et al., 2005b, Lynch et al., 2008).....	145
Figure 80. Fluvial Mosaics in the study area as mapped by Jerie et al. (2003).....	148
Figure 81. The HGL mapping approach used in this study, adapted from Wooldridge et al. (2015)..	153
Figure 82. Map showing extent of field work coverage in 2013-2015.	157
Figure 83. Hydrogeological Landscapes (HGL) map of north-east Tasmania	165
Figure 84. Map of HGL units and the confidence levels of accuracy from ground covered during field work.	167
Figure 85. Comparison of Fluvial Mosaics map (Jerie et al., 2003) with HGL map units.....	168
Figure 86. Land salinity in the study region.....	171
Figure 87. Levels of salinity exported from streams in the study region.	171
Figure 88. Concentration of salt (EC) in streams.....	172
Figure 89. Salt mobility in the study region.....	173
Figure 90. Overall salinity risk in the study region.....	173
Figure 91. Conceptual Cressy HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	182
Figure 92. Conceptual Blackwood Creek HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	183

Figure 93. Conceptual Riverside HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	186
Figure 94. Highly weathered dolerite regolith, exposed in a road quarry beneath the Batman Bridge near Deviot..	186
Figure 95. Conceptual McRae’s Hill HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	188
Figure 96. View to the north from the southern end of McRae’s Hill HGL showing a canal draining the HGL. Dolerite hills (to the left of the photograph) encircle the valley.	189
Figure 97. View to west from the East Tamar highway showing a road cutting (Dilston Profile from Chapter 3) through weathered, jointed, in situ dolerite and colluvium in the Llewellyn HGL.....	190
Figure 98. Conceptual Llewellyn HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	191
Figure 99. Conceptual Macquarie Tier HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	193
Figure 100. Regolith profile on the wall of Maitland Dam showing quartzose gravels, sands and siliceous ferricrete formed on deeply weathered dolerite in the Isis Hills.	193
Figure 101. View of Dry Bluff from the north showing the vertical dolerite scarp and the well forested steep sided slopes, of the Great Western Tiers mantled with dolerite and sandstone colluvium.	196
Figure 102. An exposure of thick dolerite colluvium and moderately weathered in situ kaolinite-bearing clays and sandy clays containing pebble to boulder-sized dolerite corestones, south west of the Lake Highway, west of Poatina in the Western Tiers HGL.....	197
Figure 103. Conceptual Western Tiers HGL cross-section showing the distribution of regolith, landforms, salt sites and flow paths.	198
Figure 104. Map of the study region showing locations of stream gauging sites from which EC and flow data were obtained.	204
Figure 105. Model of salt deposition (derived from 2013-2014 bulk deposition data) and sub-catchments in the study area.....	208
Figure 106. A comparison of measured and modelled loads at five sites from 01/01/2010.....	211
Figure 107. Meander catchment with location of water monitoring sites and sub-catchments used in analysis.	214
Figure 108. A comparison of average inputs and outputs in the Meander catchment.	215
Figure 109. Probability graphs of loads at the three sites analysed in the Meander catchment.....	216
Figure 110. Meander catchment with location of water monitoring sites and sub catchments used in analysis.	217
Figure 111. A comparison of average inputs and outputs in the Brumbys catchment..	218
Figure 112. Probability graphs of loads at the five sites analysed in the Brumbys catchment.....	219
Figure 113. Macquarie catchment with location of water monitoring sites and sub catchments used in analysis. ...	220
Figure 114. A comparison of average inputs and outputs in the Macquarie catchment.	221
Figure 115. Probability graphs of loads at the five sites analysed in the Macquarie catchment.	222
Figure 116. South Esk catchment with location of water monitoring sites and sub catchments used in analysis. ...	223
Figure 117. A comparison of average inputs and outputs in the South Esk catchment.	224
Figure 118. Probability graphs of loads at the four sites analysed in the South Esk catchment.....	225
Figure 119. North Esk catchment with location of water monitoring sites and sub catchments used in analysis. ...	226

Figure 120. A comparison of average inputs and outputs in the North Esk catchment.....	227
Figure 121. Probability graphs of loads at the sites analysed in the North Esk catchment.....	227
Figure 122. Output to input ratios for all sites in all sub-catchments.....	228
Figure 123. Some processes affecting solute budgets in a catchment adapted from Drever (1997).....	229
Figure 124. Maps of a) Meander and Brumbys catchments, b) Macquarie catchment and c) North and South Esk catchments showing HGL units and O/I salt ratios.....	230

List of tables

Table 1. Salt levels in water and general water quality yardsticks adapted from Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC, 2000).....	9
Table 2. Climate Scenarios for Tasmanian Sustainable Yields project (CSIRO, 2008).....	20
Table 3. Annual salt input estimates in some eastern Tasmanian catchments. Regions in italics are outside the study area.	27
Table 4. Location and attributes of bulk deposition collector sites (GCS GDA 1994 MGA, Zone.55).	29
Table 5. Spearman ranked correlation matrix of rainfall, pH, EC and element deposition.	42
Table 6. Results of Principal Component Analysis of trimonthly bulk deposition data.	45
Table 7. Dry deposition of salt (calculated from EC) and the major ions (except bicarbonate) estimated from linear regression of deposition and rainfall volume.	69
Table 8. Scaling factors used to multiply element ratios for display in isocon diagrams.	91
Table 9. Some pyroxene and feldspar formulae calculated from microprobe analyses from north-east Tasmanian dolerites.	96
Table 10. Some weathering reactions for a selection of minerals from Breadalbane (B) and Woodbury (W) dolerites... ..	128
Table 11. Data sets and associated sources used to create fluvial mosaics map (Jerie et al., 2003).....	149
Table 12. Land classes and the effect of salinity on crops, taken from the Land Capability Handbook. Guidelines for the Classification of Agricultural Land in Tasmania (Grose, 1999).....	150
Table 13. Raw data layers used to delineate preliminary HGL units.	154
Table 14. Modelled data layers used to delineate preliminary HGL units.	154
Table 15. Conditions used to assign impact ratings for stream salt concentration (EC) and loads.	158
Table 16. Salt Mobility matrix.	160
Table 17. Overall Salinity Risk matrix.....	161
Table 18. Land management specialists who provided advice, data and information about salinity impacts, occurrence and other related biophysical factors.	162
Table 19. List of HGL units grouped according to dominant rock types.....	166
Table 20. Comparisons of the areas of HGL units and corresponding Fluvial Mosaic units (Jerie et al., 2003).....	169
Table 21: Summary of salinity impacts for each HGL unit.....	174
Table 22: Summary of salinity impacts for the 13 HGL units dominated by Jurassic dolerite.....	184
Table 23. Elevation range and annual rainfall of the HGLs with Moderate, High and Very High overall salinity risk, in dolerite terrain.	184
Table 24. Elevation range and annual rainfall of the HGLs with Low overall salinity risk, in dolerite terrain.	192
Table 25. Elevation range and annual rainfall of the HGLs with Very Low salinity risk in dolerite terrain.	195
Table 26. Stream gauging sites in the study region that have EC and flow data, for more than 1 year.	206
Table 27. Equations derived from regression analysis of flow and load available until the end of 2009 (more information in appendix 4.1).	210

Table 28. The relationships between flow and loads at stream gauging sites in the Meander and Brumbys catchments.	212
Table 29. The relationships between flow and loads at stream gauging sites in the Macquarie North Esk and South Esk catchments.	213
Table 30. Salt storage, availability and mobility of HGLs in sub-catchments with negative salt balance.	232
Table 31. Salt storage, availability and mobility of HGLs in sub-catchments with positive salt balances.	234
Table 32. Salt storage, availability and mobility of HGLs in sub-catchments with neutral salt balance.	237
Table 33. Changes in evaporation rates and flows as a percentage change relative to Scenario A: Historical climate (1924 to 2007) and current development adapted from Tasmanian Sustainable Yields.	240

List of appendices

Appendices Part 1: From Chapter 2.....	A1
1.1 Bulk Deposition Collector Site Characteristics.....	A1
1.1.1 Site 1. Hillwood (0499603E, 5434772N).....	A1
1.1.2 Site 2. Westbury (0484344E, 5403079N).....	A2
1.1.3 Site 3. North Esk (0548061E, 5410097N).....	A3
1.1.4 Site 4. Perth (0515233E, 5397227N).....	A4
1.1.5 Site 5. Poatina (0493897E, 5371860N).....	A5
1.1.6 Site 6. Fingal (0581719E, 5389378N).....	A6
1.1.7 Site 7. Avoca (0560056E, 5374472N).....	A7
1.1.8 Site 8. West Midlands (0525148E, 5365089N).....	A8
1.1.9 Site 9. Lake Leake (0566018E, 5349190N).....	A9
1.1.10 Site 10. York Plains (0539141E, 5320708N).....	A10
1.2 Titration and subsampling procedure.....	A11
1.3 Chemistry of sea water samples and 1:5 soil/water suspensions from bulk deposition sites.....	A12
1.4 Conductivity meter calibration data.....	A13
1.5 Monthly rainfall at 5 weather stations in north-east Tasmania.....	A14
1.6 Detection limits of ICPMS.....	A15
1.7 Descriptive statistics for chemical constituents of trimonthly rainfall data.....	A16
1.7.1 Trimonthly and monthly rainfall samples collected September 2013 to August 2014.....	A16
1.7.2 Accession of chemical constituents and salt from trimonthly (a) and monthly (b) bulk deposition samples.....	A19
1.7.3 Distribution of trimonthly deposition data with log transformation.....	A20
1.7.4 Distribution of monthly deposition data with log transformation.....	A21
1.8 Principal component analysis (PCA).....	A22
1.8.1 Principal component analysis of trimonthly data.....	A22
1.8.2 Principal component analysis of monthly data.....	A24
1.9 Chemistry of bulk deposition samples.....	A25
1.9.1 Chemistry of trimonthly bulk deposition samples.....	A25
1.9.2 Chemistry of monthly bulk deposition samples.....	A26
1.10 ICPMS error calculations.....	A27
1.11 Correlation between trimonthly and monthly data.....	A27
1.12 Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) weather stations in north-east Tasmania used in this study.....	A28
1.13 Average wind direction data (1/9/13 to 31/8/14).....	A29
1.14 Direction of origin for rain events.....	A32
1.15 Evaporation and precipitation.....	A33
1.16 Spatial patterns of average rain chemistry for grouped bulk deposition samples.....	A34
1.17 Hillwood Cherry Orchard schedule of spraying and active constituents.....	A38
1.18 Average interannual variation.....	A39
1.19 Eastern Tasmanian salt flux estimation models.....	A40

Appendices Part 2: From Chapter 3	A41
2.1 Methods: Sampling	A41
2.1.1 Breadalbane (0515425, 5402414).....	A42
2.1.2 Woodbury (0525636, 5331500).....	A43
2.1.3 Deviot (0492282, 5436936).....	A44
2.1.4 Dilston (507115, 5424044).....	A45
2.1.5 Maitland Dam (521828, 5360967)	A46
2.2 Clay separations	A47
2.3 Soils surveys including 1:5 soil/ water suspension measurements.....	A50
2.3.1 Breadalbane regolith/ soil characterisation.....	A50
2.3.2 Woodbury Quarry regolith/soil characterisation.....	A51
2.3.3 Deviot road cutting regolith/soil characterisation and 1: 5 soil suspension measurements.	A52
2.3.4 Dilston road cutting regolith/soil characterisation and 1: 5 soil suspension measurements.	A53
2.3.5 Maitland Dam regolith/soil characterisation and 1: 5 soil suspension measurements.	A54
2.4 Analytical errors	A55
2.4.1 Microprobe analysis uncertainties	A55
2.4.2 Errors from XRF analysis.....	A56
2.4.3 Errors from ICPMS analysis.....	A56
2.5 X-Ray Fluorescence data.....	A57
2.6 Electron microprobe data	A60
2.6.1 Microprobe calibration data and element concentrations	A60
2.6.2 Mineral compositions from microprobe analysis	A66
2.7 Quantitative X-ray diffraction data.....	A66
2.8 Clay separation data	A68
2.8.1 Clay separates X-ray diffraction patterns	A69
2.9 ICPMS analysis of regolith pore water chemistry.....	A73
2.9.1 Breadalbane 1:5 soil/water suspensions chemistry.....	A73
2.9.2 Woodbury 1:5 soil/water suspensions chemistry.....	A74
2.9.3 Deviot 1:5 soil/water suspensions chemistry.....	A75
2.9.4 Dilston 1:5 soil/water suspensions chemistry.....	A76
Appendices Part 3: From Chapter 4	A77
3.1 Badger’s Head Hydrogeological Landscape	A77
3.2 Beaconsfield Hydrogeological Landscape	A82
3.3 Ben Lomond Hydrogeological Landscape	A87
3.4 Blackwood Creek Hydrogeological Landscape	A92
3.5 Central Plateau Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A97
3.6 City Hydrogeological Landscape	A102
3.7 Cressy Hydrogeological Landscape	A107
3.8 Currajong Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A113
3.9 Dairy Plains Hydrogeological Landscape	A118
3.10 Dan’s Creek Hydrogeological Landscape	A123
3.11 Dazzler Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A127

3.12 Deloraine Hydrogeological Landscape	A132
3.13 Eastern Tiers Hydrogeological Landscape	A136
3.14 Fingal Hydrogeological Landscape	A141
3.15 George Town Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A146
3.16 Gilbert Hydrogeological Landscape	A151
3.17 Glengarry Hydrogeological Landscape	A155
3.18 Hillwood Hydrogeological Landscape	A160
3.19 Invermay Hydrogeological Landscape	A165
3.20 Launceston Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A170
3.21 Llewellyn Hydrogeological Landscape	A175
3.22 Long Ridge Hydrogeological Landscape	A181
3.23 Macquarie Tier Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A186
3.24 Mathinna Hydrogeological Landscape	A191
3.25 McRae’s Hill Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A196
3.26 Prospect Vale Hydrogeological Landscape	A201
3.27 Reedy Marsh Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A206
3.28 Riverside Hydrogeological Landscape	A211
3.29 Rosevale Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A216
3.30 Rossarden Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A221
3.31 South Esk Hydrogeological Landscape	A226
3.32 Supply River Hydrogeological Landscape	A231
3.33 Taylor’s Hill Hydrogeological Landscape	A236
3.34 Tunbridge Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A241
3.35 Western Tiers Hydrogeological Landscape	A246
3.36 York Plains Hydrogeological Landscape.....	A251
3.37 North-east Tasmania HGL project-attribute summary.....	A256
Appendices Part 4: From Chapter 5	A257
4.1 Average gains and losses for each stream gauging site.	A257
References.....	A261