

**EXAMINING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENDOGENOUS PAIN
MODULATION AND EXERCISE**

Andrew Flood

University of Canberra

Primary Supervisor: Professor Gordon Waddington

Secondary Supervisor: Dr Richard Keegan

Secondary Supervisor: Professor Kevin Thompson

Advisor: Dr Stuart Cathcart

A thesis by published works submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

2017

Abstract

The physiological processes that underpin the perception of pain are complex, involving neural systems which act to manipulate the perception of pain through endogenous pain inhibition and facilitation. Dynamic pain assessment, including the conditioned pain modulation (CPM) protocol, allow for the assessment of the efficiency of this endogenous system of pain modulation. Athletes regularly experience pain through the performance of physically demanding tasks and, perhaps as a result of this repeated exposure, are better able to tolerate pain than non-athletes. However, whether athletes possess a more efficient system of endogenous pain modulation, involving enhanced inhibition and/or reduced facilitation, remains to be fully clarified. In addition, although pain is considered an important factor in the performance of physically fatiguing exercise, research to-date has not yet examined whether a more efficient system of endogenous pain modulation is beneficial for endurance exercise performance.

Therefore, the current thesis has two primary research aims: 1) compare the pain modulatory capacity of athletes and non-athletes; and 2) assess the role of endogenous pain modulation in endurance exercise performance. Based on pre-existing literature, it is hypothesised that: 1) athletes will display enhanced pain modulatory capacity when compared to non-athletes; and 2) that an elevated endogenous pain modulatory capacity will result in increased endurance exercise performance.

This thesis includes seven chapters, beginning with an introduction (Chapter I) followed by a literature review (Chapter II), four research papers (Chapters III-VI) and a discussion (Chapter VII). The research papers present four studies which address the primary aims and hypotheses of the thesis. In Study 1 (Chapter III) the endogenous pain modulation

of athletes and non-athletes was compared. As hypothesised, athletes displayed enhanced endogenous pain modulatory capacity when compared to age- and sex-matched non-athletes. Study 2 (Chapter IV) assessed the relationship between endogenous pain modulation and endurance exercise performance. Those displaying elevated endogenous pain inhibitory responses were shown to produce longer endurance times in a sustained muscular contraction. In Study 3 (Chapter V) high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (HD-tDCS), a form of non-invasive electrical brain stimulation, was examined as a method for the enhancement of endogenous pain modulatory capacity. HD-tDCS was shown to significantly enhance endogenous pain modulatory capacity, offering a method for the experimental manipulation of endogenous pain modulation. Extending upon these findings, HD-tDCS was used in Study 4 (Chapter VI) to examine the effect of enhanced endogenous pain modulation on endurance exercise. Despite successfully increasing endogenous pain modulatory function, HD-tDCS did not increase endurance exercise performance. Therefore, although enhanced endogenous pain modulatory capacity was shown to be related to endurance exercise performance, experimental manipulation of endogenous pain modulation did not cause changes in endurance exercise performance. These findings partially reject the second hypothesis of this thesis and indicate that mediating factors may account for the observed relationship between endogenous pain modulation and endurance exercise.

The findings presented in this thesis are of significant importance to the incremental advancement of knowledge in this field. As previous research has largely failed to utilise dynamic pain assessment, this thesis offers the first comprehensive discussion of the relationship between endogenous pain modulation and exercise. The findings also have significant practical implications, including implications for the treatment of chronic pain, the selection of athletes and the use of novel methods of exercise performance-enhancement.

Publications Arising from this Thesis

1. Flood, A., Waddington, G., Thompson, K., & Cathcart, S. (2017). Increased conditioned pain modulation in athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *35*, 1066-1072. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1210196
2. Flood, A., Waddington, G., & Cathcart, S. (in press). Examining the relationship between endogenous pain modulation capacity and endurance exercise performance. *Research in Sports Medicine*
3. Flood, A., Waddington, G., & Cathcart, S. (2016). High-definition transcranial direct current stimulation enhances conditioned pain modulation in healthy volunteers: a randomized trial. *The Journal of Pain*, *17*, 600-605. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2016.01.472
4. Flood, A., Waddington, G., Keegan, R. J., Thompson, K. G., & Cathcart, S. (2017). The effects of elevated pain inhibition on endurance exercise performance. *PeerJ*, *5*, e3028. doi: 10.7717/peerj.3028

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Declaration: Form B Certificate of Authorship of Thesis	iii
Publications Arising from this Thesis.....	v
Table of Contents.....	vii
List of Figures.....	xi
List of Abbreviations	xiii
Acknowledgements.....	xv
Chapter I.....	1
1.0. Background.....	1
1.2. Thesis Aims and Structure	3
References.....	5
Chapter II	9
2.0. Introduction.....	9
2.1. Historical Perspectives on Nociception and Pain	9
2.2. Physiology of Pain: Ascending Pathways.....	14
2.2.1. Peripheral mechanisms	14
2.2.2. Spinal mechanisms.....	15
2.2.3. Supraspinal mechanisms.....	17
2.3. Physiology of Pain: Muscle Pain	18
2.4. Physiology of Pain: Endogenous Modulation.....	20
2.4.1. Diffuse noxious inhibitory control.....	22
2.5. Physiology of Pain: Summary	24
2.6. Pain and Exercise.....	25

2.6.1. Immediate and prolonged effect of exercise on pain	25
2.6.2. Pain as a regulator of performance	28
2.7. Non-Invasive Manipulation of Pain: Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	32
2.7.1. Transcranial direct current stimulation-induced analgesia for performance- enhancement	36
2.8. Summary	37
2.9. Project Aims and Hypotheses	37
2.9.1. Study 1: Increased conditioned pain modulation in athletes	38
2.9.2. Study 2: Examining the relationship between endogenous pain modulation capacity and endurance exercise performance.....	38
2.9.3. Study 3: High-definition transcranial direct current stimulation enhances conditioned pain modulation in healthy volunteers: a randomized trial.....	38
2.9.4. Study 4: The effects of elevated pain inhibition on exercise performance	39
References.....	41
Chapter III.....	59
3.0. Preface.....	59
3.1. Published Paper.....	59
3.2. Postface	87
Chapter IV.....	89
4.0. Preface.....	89
4.1. Paper in Press	89
4.2. Postface	120
Chapter V	121
5.0. Preface.....	121
5.1. Published Paper.....	121

5.2. Postface	145
Chapter VI.....	147
6.0. Preface.....	147
6.1. Published Paper.....	147
6.2. Postface	183
Chapter VII	185
7.0. Introduction.....	185
7.1. Summary of Main Findings	185
7.2. Endogenous Pain Modulatory Capacity of Athletes	189
7.2.1. Implications.....	193
7.3. Endogenous Pain Modulation and Endurance Exercise Performance	195
7.3.1. Implications.....	200
7.4. Limitations and Future Research	201
7.5. Conclusion	206
References.....	209
Appendix A: Progression of Research Papers.....	221

List of Figures

Figure 1. Descartes (1644) description of the pain pathway	11
Figure 2. Mechanisms of the gate control theory	14

List of Abbreviations

ACC	Anterior cingulate cortex
CGM	Central governor model
CPM	Conditioned pain modulation
DNIC	Diffuse noxious inhibitory control
DOMS	Delayed-onset muscle soreness
EIH	Exercise-induce hypoalgesia
HD-tDCS	High-definition transcranial direct current stimulation
IASP	International Association for the Study of Pain
LTD	Long-term depression
LTP	Long-term potentiation
NMDA	N-methyl-D-aspartate
NS	Nociception specific
PAG	Periaqueductal gray
RVM	Rostral ventromedial medulla
tDCS	Transcranial direct current stimulation
WDR	Wide-dynamic range

Acknowledgements

I would first like to acknowledge the support that I have received from the members of my supervisory team: Dr Stuart Cathcart, Professor Gordon Waddington, Dr Richard Keegan and Professor Kevin Thompson. I have no doubt that this would not have been possible without your wealth of experience and knowledge. I have learnt a lot from you all and your passion has driven me to overcome the challenges that I have faced along this journey. So I thank you and I look forward to continuing to work with you into the future.

I would also like to thank the academic staff and student members of UCRISE and the Faculty of Health. You have provided a network of support and encouragement over the past three years and I have thoroughly enjoyed working with you. Many thanks also to the Faculty of Health technical support staff for assistance both in and out of the laboratory.

To my family and friends outside of the PhD world, thank you for all of your love and support. You are a central reason for why this PhD rollercoaster has had more ups than downs. For that, and so much more, I will be forever grateful.