

UNIVERSITY OF CANBERRA



Floodplains as Dynamic Mosaics: Sediment and Nutrient patches in a Large Lowland Riverine Landscape



Mark Southwell

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

March 2008



Australian Government
Land & Water Australia

Riverine Landscapes Research Laboratory
Faculty of Science
University of Canberra
Bruce ACT 2601



**RIVERINE LANDSCAPES
RESEARCH LABORATORY**

Abstract

Rivers around the world are under increasing pressure from a variety of human activities. Effective management of riverine landscapes requires an ecosystem approach and one that recognises the complex interactions between their physical, chemical and biological components. Perceptions of pattern and process are central to our understanding of riverine landscapes. Pattern and process operate over multiple scales to produce heterogeneous mosaics of landscape patches that change over time. Hierarchical patch dynamics provides a useful approach to unravel pattern and process at multiple scales in riverine landscapes. This thesis adopts a hierarchical patch dynamics approach to investigate floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics within the Barwon-Darling River in South Eastern Australia.

The flow regime of the Barwon-Darling River is highly variable. As a result, it has a complex channel cross section featuring inset-floodplain surfaces that occur at multiple elevations within the channel trough. These surfaces formed the focus of this study. The texture of inset-floodplain surface sediments displays a patchy spatial distribution and one that did not reflect lateral or longitudinal gradients within this floodplain landscape. Rather a sediment textural patch mosaic was identified. Nutrient concentrations associated with the surface sediments of the inset-floodplains were also shown to vary significantly resulting in a nutrient patch mosaic. This spatial nutrient mosaic was enhanced by factors including the surface elevation of the floodplain surface.

Sediment and nutrient exchange between the river channel and inset-floodplain surfaces was measured during several flows in 2001, 2002 and 2005. Pin and sediment trap data showed that significant quantities of sediment were exchanged between the river channel and floodplain surfaces during inundation with both cut and fill processes occurring. Patterns in sediment exchange appear to be related to local sediment supply and seasonal sediment exhaustion, rather than the top down geomorphic constraints considered. These material exchanges resulted in a change to the spatial configuration of the sediment textural patch mosaic. Distinct new sediment textural patches were created following inundation, while other patches were lost post inundation and other patches changed sediment textural character to move into pre-existing patches. Thus a truly dynamic sediment textural mosaic exists within this floodplain landscape.

Nutrient concentrations associated with floodplain sediments also changed over time. While nutrient concentrations increased after the December 2001 flow event, they generally decreased after the March 2002 event, highlighting their dynamic nature over time. The spatial distribution of nutrient concentrations also varied over time, with a 40 percent change to the nutrient mosaic as a result of the March 2002 flow event. In addition to the influence of the changing physical template (sediment texture mosaic), nutrient concentrations were shown to be influenced by rainfall processes on non flooded surfaces, and also a number of top-down constraints and bottom-up influences operating over multiple spatial scales.

Overall, the inset-floodplains studied in this thesis acted primarily as sediment and nutrient sinks, and were a source for dissolved nutrients. Nutrient exchange was associated with the exchange of sediments in this riverine landscape, over both inter-flow and decadal timescales. It was demonstrated that water resource development within the catchment reduced the number, magnitude and duration of flow events down the Barwon-Darling River and as a result reductions in the exchange of sediment, associated and dissolved nutrients between inset-floodplains and the main river channel were calculated. The greatest reductions were with the release of dissolved nutrients (42-25 percent) and the exchange of sediment and associated nutrients from high level surfaces (43 percent).

Effective conservation and management of riverine ecosystems must occur at the correct scale. This study identified potential nutrient hotspots at several scales in the Barwon-Darling floodplain landscape that could be targeted by management. The low predictability of the location of nutrient hotspots at the inset-floodplain scale over time means that environmental flows should be targeted at high level surfaces (<25 000 MLD⁻¹) that provide long term sources of carbon to the river channel. Conserving flows of this magnitude will also reinstate flow variability, an important facet of the Barwon-Darling River's hydrology that has been changed by water resource development. The research presented in this thesis highlights the importance of not only considering pattern and process at multiple scales, but also the way in which these processes influence landscape patterns over time, leading to the identification of the appropriate scales that can best be targeted for the conservation of these systems.



Copyright in Relationship to this Thesis

Under section 35 of the Copyright Act of 1968, the author of this thesis, **Mark Southwell**, is the owner of any copyright subsisting in the work, even though it is unpublished. Under section 31(I)(a)(i), copyright includes the exclusive right to 'reproduce the work in a material form'. Thus, copyright is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright, reproduces or authorises the reproduction of the work, or of more than a reasonable part of the work, in a material form, unless reproduction is a 'fair dealing' with the work 'for the purpose of research or study' as further defined in Section 40 and 41 of the Act. This thesis must therefore be copied or used only under the normal conditions of scholarly fair dealing for the purposes of research, criticism or review, as outlined in the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. In particular, no results or conclusions should be extracted from it, nor should it be copied or closely paraphrased in whole or in part without the written consent of the author. Proper written acknowledgement should be made for any assistance obtained from this thesis. Copies of the thesis may be made by a library on behalf of another person provided the officer in charge of the library is satisfied that the copy is being made for the purposes of research or study.

© Mark Southwell, March 2008

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by a PhD scholarship provided by Land and Water Australia. In addition, a ‘top up’ scholarship was provided by the University of Canberra, and a ‘Southwell’ scholarship was lovingly granted by my understanding parents to support me in the final stages. All these, as well as operational funds provided by the Riverine Landscapes Research Laboratory are gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to sincerely acknowledge my primary supervisor, Associate Professor Martin Thoms, for giving me the opportunity, time, guidance, support and encouragement to keep going when times were tough. Thank you also for those conceptual pieces of genius that made this thesis what it is today. Pity they always seemed to appear when I was struggling to keep up with you on my mountain bike! I would also like to thank my secondary supervisor Dr Mike Reid and also Dr Melissa Parsons for providing timely advice, sorting out my semi-colons, and turning the last chapter from a summary into a synthesis; Shannon Brennan and my mum, Marilyn who picked their way through final drafts to make them legible.

This research was carried out in the Riverine Landscapes Research Laboratory at the University of Canberra, and I would like to thank all those associated with the lab. At the start, Heather McGinness, Neil Simms and Craig ‘boysie’ Boys led by example and provided inspiration on how to get the PhD job done. Erin ‘ez’ Lennon, Desley ‘big D’ Ferguson and Nolani McColl always provided a friendly face and advice at the drop of a hat. More recently, thanks to Munique ‘moners’ Webb, Dr Scott ‘ice’ Rayburg and Ali ‘G’ Cowood, and especially my two partners in crime, Rob ‘Noddy I see you see you’ Cossart and Vic ‘the general’ Hughes for giving me the day to day support and continual laughs that broke up the monotony that is thesis writing. Thanks also to Kris Kleeman for providing the study area maps for the thesis.

Many people helped me with my field and lab work. I would like to especially thank the landowners who willingly allowed me access to their properties – Ian and Dianne Hannaford on ‘Barokaville’ at Walgett and Wayne and Patty O’Malley on ‘Warraweena’ at Bourke. Also, thank you to John, Ez, Gaz, Rohan, Kate, Anto, Noddy, Andy, Warney and Berdine for making the times in the field so memorable and helping me lug the boat through the murky depths. I could not have done this without you.

Finally to my mum and dad, Marilyn and Rob; thank you for the never-ending love and support you have given me over the years. Your patience and belief that I would finally get to the

end of this PhD journey is the main reason why I have. To my brother Paul; thank you for giving me such great times away from the thesis. Time spent in the bush always provides a positive perspective on life. Let's hope there are many more memorable trips to come.

Table of contents

ABSTRACT	I
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP OF THESIS	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES	XV
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS	XVII
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Riverine Landscapes: a result of pattern and process	3
1.2 What is hierarchical patch dynamics and how does it apply to riverine landscapes?	7
1.3 Floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics in a dryland River system and the application of hierarchical patch dynamics.....	12
1.4 Aims and objectives	17
1.5 Approach used for the study of floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics on the Barwon-Darling River.....	18
1.6 Thesis structure	19
2. THE BARWON-DARLING RIVER AND STUDY DESIGN	21
2.1 The Barwon-Darling River.....	22
2.1.1 <i>Climate</i>	22
2.1.2 <i>Geomorphology</i>	25
2.1.3 <i>Inset-floodplains of the Barwon-Darling River</i>	25
2.1.3 <i>Hydrology and the influence of Water Resource Development (WRD)</i>	27
2.2 Study design	31
2.2.1 <i>Valley level</i>	32
2.2.2 <i>Channel setting level</i>	33
2.2.3 <i>Channel plan form level</i>	33
2.2.4 <i>Inset-floodplain elevation level</i>	34
2.2.5 <i>Inset-floodplain surface level</i>	34
2.2.6 <i>Cut and fill level</i>	35
2.2.7 <i>Sediment texture level</i>	35
2.3 Summary	35

3	3. PATTERNS OF SEDIMENT TEXTURE AND ASSOCIATED NUTRIENT CONCENTRATIONS ACROSS MULTIPLE FLOODPLAIN SURFACES IN A DRYLAND RIVER SYSTEM.....	37
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Methods.....	40
3.2.1	<i>Sediment texture</i>	40
3.2.2	<i>Associated nutrient concentrations</i>	41
3.3	Results	42
3.3.1	<i>Sediment texture</i>	42
3.3.2	<i>Associated nutrient concentrations</i>	45
3.4	Discussion	50
3.5	Conclusion.....	56
4.	RESOURCE SHIFTS IN A DRYLAND RIVER SYSTEM - TEMPORAL TRENDS IN SEDIMENT TEXTURE AND ASSOCIATED NUTRIENTS.....	57
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Methods.....	62
4.3	Field and laboratory protocol	65
4.4	Results	70
4.4.1	<i>Erosion pin data</i>	70
4.4.2	<i>Sediment trap data</i>	73
4.4.3	<i>Sediment texture</i>	77
4.4.4	<i>Nutrient concentrations on floodplain surfaces</i>	80
4.4.5	<i>Nutrient response over individual flow events.</i>	80
4.4.6	<i>Spatial differences in response over individual flow events.</i>	81
4.4.7	<i>Changes to the nutrient mosaic</i>	81
4.4.8	<i>Nutrient trajectories over time</i>	87
4.5	Discussion	90
4.5.1	<i>Sediment exchange</i>	90
4.5.2	<i>Sediment texture</i>	92
4.5.3	<i>Nutrient dynamic patch mosaic</i>	93
4.5.4	<i>Nutrient trajectories over time</i>	95
4.6	Conclusion.....	96
5.	SEDIMENT AND NUTRIENT EXCHANGE IN A DRYLAND RIVER AND THE INFLUENCE OF CHANGING HYDROLOGY.....	97

5.1	Introduction	98
5.2	Methods	100
5.2.1	<i>Sediment and related nutrient deposition during flooding</i>	100
5.2.2	<i>Sediment and related nutrient erosion during flooding</i>	101
5.2.3	<i>Nutrient release from inundated inset-floodplain sediments</i>	102
5.2.4	<i>Reach scale sediment and nutrient exchange and the influence of changing hydrology</i>	103
5.3	Results	106
5.3.1	<i>Sediment and related nutrient exchange during flooding</i>	106
5.3.2	<i>Nutrient release from inundated inset-floodplain sediments</i>	109
5.3.3	<i>Reach scale sediment and nutrient exchange and the influence of changing hydrology</i>	118
5.4	Discussion	125
5.5	Conclusion.....	131
6	SYNTHESIS	133
6.1	Introduction	134
6.2	Floodplain landscapes as patch mosaics	134
6.3	Floodplains as dynamic patch mosaics	135
6.3.1	<i>Dynamic versus Shifting patch mosaics</i>	136
6.4	The value of a hierarchical approach in investigating sediment and nutrient dynamics in a floodplain landscape.	137
6.4.1	<i>Top-down constraints versus bottom up influences</i>	137
6.4.2.	<i>Exchange of resources at multiple scales.</i>	142
6.5	The influence of changing hydrology on connectivity and exchange in a floodplain landscape and the implications for management.....	144
6.6	Conclusion.....	146
	REFERENCES	148
	APPENDICES	163
	Appendix 1	164
	Appendix 2	172

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Three levels of organisation in a hierarchy showing the focal level and influences and constraints imposed by lower and higher levels in the hierarchy respectively (modified from Wu and David, 2002 and Dollar <i>et al.</i> , 2007).....	10
Figure 1.2. Framework produced by Picket <i>et al.</i> (2003) representing the generation of heterogeneity and the ecological response to changing heterogeneity in the landscape. See text for explanation.....	11
Figure 1.3. Operational framework used to investigate floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics in the study. Grey dotted box denotes the framework of Picket <i>et al.</i> (2003). TDC denotes top-down constraints; BUI denotes bottom-up influences.	20
Figure 2.1 The Murray Darling Basin in South Eastern Australia, showing the Darling Basin in green and Murray Basin in yellow.....	23
Figure 2.2. Photo of the Barwon River along the Bourke reach of the study area, showing the low flow channel deeply incised into the surrounding landscape. The low flow channel is approximately 20 metres wide in the foreground.....	28
Figure 2.3. Inset-floodplain surfaces of the Barwon-Darling River. a) Schematic cross section of the paleochannel trough showing inset-floodplain surfaces and low flow river channel. b) Series of inset-floodplain along the Bourke reach of the study area. Low flow channel in b) approximately 15 metres wide, horizontal distance between low flow channel and high level inset-floodplain approximately 6 metres.	29
Figure 2.4. a) Daily flow record for the Barwon River at Walgett (Dangar Bridge gauging station No. 422001) for the period 1972-2007. Source: NSW DIPNR. b) Flow duration curve for the Dangar Bridge gauging station for the period 1886-2005. Source: NSW DIPNR. MLD ⁻¹ represents Mega litres per day.	30
Figure 2.5. Hierarchical study design showing the seven levels of organisation investigated in the study.....	31
Figure 2.6. The locations of the two study reaches used to investigate sediment and nutrient exchange along the Barwon-Darling River.	32
Figure 3.1. Operational framework used to investigate floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics in the study. Orange box represents the components of the framework considered within Chapter 3 of the thesis.	40
Figure 3.2. Box and whisker plot of Median grain size (D_{50}) for the river channel and floodplain levels of the Barwon-Darling River.	43

Figure 3.3. Average grain size distributions for the five entropy sediment groups found in the study.....	44
Figure 3.4. Distribution of entropy groups relative to: (a) river channel and floodplain level, and (b) channel plan form for sediment in the study area.	46
Figure 3.5. Distribution of entropy groups across geomorphic units in: (a) confined channel settings and (b) unconfined channel settings in the study area.....	46
Figure 3.6. Relationships between carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus with distance downstream in both the Walgett and Bourke study reaches	47
Figure 3. 7. Box and whisker plots of TC, TN and TP grouped by the top-down constraints of (a) channel setting, (b) plan form, (c) surface elevation and the bottom-up influence of (d) entropy sediment group	48
Figure 3.8. A schematic of the mosaic of sediment textural groups along the two study reaches of the Barwon-Darling River.....	51
Figure 3.9. Processes acting upon the distribution of nutrients in the study area. Width of arrows indicate the strength of influence.....	55
Figure 4.1. Operational framework used to investigate floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics in the study. Grey box represents the components of the framework considered within Chapter 3 of the thesis. Orange box represents components considered within Chapter 4 of the thesis.....	61
Figure 4.2. (a) River flow and (b) rainfall data experienced along the study reaches of the Barwon-Darling River during the study period. Arrows indicate sampling times of the study.	63
Figure 4.3. Variables used to describe nutrient trends over the 5 sampling times in the study.	69
Figure 4.4. Changes in floodplain surface elevation recorded by erosion pins during the (a) December 2001 flow event; (b) March 2002 flow event; (c) February 2005 flow event and (d) July 2005 flow event. Positive results indicate net deposition and negative indicate net erosion. Erosion Pin codes represent the study reach (B= Bourke, W= Walgett) followed by floodplain surface then the pin number following the underscore.	71
Figure 4.5. Box and whisker plot's are depicted showing sediment exchange on floodplain surfaces as detected by erosion pins during four flow events studied.....	72
Figure 4.6. Deposition recorded on the sediment traps located on floodplain surfaces of the Barwon-Darling River during the (a) December 2001 flow event; (b) March 2002 flow event; (c) February 2005 flow event and (d) July 2005 flow event. Sediment trap codes represent the	

study reach (B= Bourke, W= Walgett) followed by the floodplain surface then the pin number after the underscore.....	74
Figure 4.7. Box and Whisker plots are depicted showing sediment deposition on the floodplain surfaces of the Barwon-Darling River over the four flow events.	75
Figure 4. 8. Sediment deposition on floodplain surfaces of the Barwon-Darling River located in different (a) plan form locations and (b) channel settings.....	76
Figure 4.9. (a) The average grain size distributions for the six entropy groups determined over the December 2001 flow event. (b) Sediment textural mosaics before, during and after the December 2001 flow event along the Walgett and Bourke reach. Each symbol represents a single inset-floodplain surface arranged downstream from left to right.....	78
Figure 4.10. (a) The average grain size distributions for the six entropy groups determined over the March 2002 flow event. (b) Sediment textural mosaics before, during and after the March 2002 flow event along Bourke reach. Each symbol represents a single inset-floodplain surface arranged downstream from left to right.	79
Figure 4.11. Marginal means for nutrients at sampling time 1 and 2 for flooded surfaces. (a) Nitrogen, (b) Carbon, (c) Phosphorus. Bars represent one standard deviation from the mean	83
Figure 4.12. Marginal means for selected nutrients of flooded surfaces and geomorphic variables between sampling times 1 and 2. a) Nitrogen and valley b) Carbon and textural change. Bars represent one standard deviation from the mean.	84
Figure 4.13. Marginal means of the nitrogen concentration of sediment on surfaces that were not flooded during the (a) December 2001 flow event; and (b) March 2002 flow event. Bars represent one standard deviation from the mean	85
Figure 4.14. Mantel comparisons between similarity matrices constructed using inset-floodplains grouped by individual geomorphic constraint over sampling time one (T1), time two (T2) and time four (T4) for (a) all surfaces; (b) by flooding; (c) by valley setting; (d) by surface elevation; (e) by plan form location.	86
Figure 5.1. Schematic of measurements used to calculate the sill height of inset-floodplains along the Barwon-Darling River. See text for full description of measurements.	104
Figure 5.2. Relationships between sediment associated nutrients and the amount of sediment deposited on inset-floodplain surfaces along the Barwon-Darling River during two flows in 2001-2002. (a) TC, (b) TN and (c) TP.....	108
Figure 5.3. Release rates for (a) TOC, (b) TN and (c) TP from inset-floodplain surfaces on the Barwon-Darling River. Error bars represent minimum and maximum values.....	111

- Figure 5.4. Concentrations and release rates of TOC and TN over time grouped by valley location along the Barwon-Darling River. (a) TOC concentration, (b) TOC release rate, (c) TN concentration, (d) TN release rate. All data Log_{10} transformed. 112
- Figure 5.5. Concentrations and release rates for dissolved nutrients released from inundated sediments from inset-floodplains, grouped by channel confinement; CC = confined channel, UC = unconfined channel. (a) TOC release rate. (b) TN concentration (c) TN release rate (d) TP concentration (e) TP release rate. (a) – (d) Log_{10} transformed data, (e) 4th root transformed data. 114
- Figure 5.6. Concentration and release rates over time for TOC and TN. Data grouped by inset-floodplain elevation within the Barwon-Darling channel trough. (a) TOC concentration (b) TOC release rate (c) TN concentration (d) TN release rate. All data Log_{10} transformed 115
- Figure 5.7. Concentrations and release rates for dissolved nutrients released from inundated sediments from inset-floodplains, grouped by sediment textural groups. (a) TOC release rate. (b) TN concentration (c) TN release rate (d) TP concentration (e) TP release rate. (a) – (d) Log_{10} transformed data, (e) 4th root transformed data. 116
- Figure 5.8. Average 24 hour release rates for (a) TN grouped by channel setting (b) TOC grouped by elevation class (c) TN grouped by elevation class. (b) and (c) are log_{10} transformed data. 117
- Figure 5.9. Cumulative frequency plots of inset-floodplain inundation levels along the (a) Bourke and (b) Walgett study reaches of the Barwon-Darling River. Inset-floodplain elevation classes circled with respective flow ranges stated. 121
- Figure 5.10. Sediment (Se) and associated nutrient (C, N and P) loads exchanged between the river and inset-floodplain surfaces of the Barwon-Darling River over the period 1922 – 2000 under natural (nat) and current (curr) hydrological conditions. 130
- Figure 6.1. The influence of processes operating at various levels of organisation on the different components of sediment and nutrient dynamics studied in the Barwon-Darling floodplain landscape. Observations were made at the inset-floodplain surface level and viewed at the Barwon-Darling floodplain landscape level. Top-down constraints are those acting at levels in between the inset-floodplain surface and Barwon-Darling Floodplain landscape level. Bottom-up influences are those below the inset-floodplain surface level in the hierarchy. 138
- Figure 6.2. Revised operational framework used to investigate floodplain sediment and nutrient dynamics in the study. Blue sections indicate additions to the framework arising from study findings. TDC denotes top-down constraints; BUI denotes bottom-up influences. 141

Figure 6.3. The three spatial and temporal scales over which the process of exchange was considered in this study. Arrows indicate the direction of movement of sediment and nutrients. The relevant concepts that each of these scales address are presented to the right of the diagram. 143

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Climate statistics for selected townships in the Barwon-Darling Basin	24
Table 3.1. ANOVA results for total carbon, total nitrogen and total phosphorus based on Entropy grain size groups.	49
Table 4.1. Descriptive statistics for the four monitored flooding events. MLD = megalitres per day.....	64
Table 4.2. Response of individual inset-floodplain surfaces that were flooded and not flooded during the December 2001 and March 2002 flow events monitored in the study.....	82
Table 4.3. Response of individual inset floodplain surfaces over the study period.	88
Table 4.4. Results of forward selection of the first four geomorphic variables for the CCA analysis on the nutrient trend dataset including all inset-floodplains sampled.	88
Table 4.5. Results of forward selection of the first four geomorphic variables for the CCA analysis on the nutrient trend dataset including only inset-floodplains that were flooded during the study (flooded only).	89
Table 4. 6. Results of forward selection of the first four geomorphic variables for the CCA analysis on the nutrient trend dataset including only inset-floodplains that were not flooded during the study (dry only)	89
Table 5. 1. Top-down constraints and bottom-up influences considered in the study. A tick represents their consideration in each individual method component.....	102
Table 5.2. REML analysis on the influence of top-down and bottom-up influences on nutrient release data gathered from inundated inset-floodplain surfaces of the Barwon-Darling River	110
Table 5. 3. Summary statistics of the distribution of inset-floodplain surfaces along the Barwon-Darling River, and their hydrology under ‘natural’ and ‘current’ flow scenarios...	120
Table 5.4. Reach scale sediment and associated nutrient deposition onto inset-floodplain surfaces over the 78 year period from 1922 - 2000. ‘nat’ is loads under the natural flow scenario, ‘cur’ is loads under the current flow scenario. The percentage column is the percentage reduction in loads from natural to current flow conditions.	122
Table 5.5. Reach scale sediment and associated nutrient erosion from inset-floodplain surfaces over the 78 year period from 1922 - 2000. ‘nat’ is loads under the natural flow scenario, ‘cur’ is loads under the current flow scenario. The percentage column is the percentage reduction in loads from natural to current flow conditions.	123

Table 5.6. Reach scale dissolved nutrient loads estimated to have been released from inset-floodplain surface sediment over the 78 year period from 1922 - 2000. 'nat' is loads under the natural flow scenario, 'cur' is loads under the current flow scenario. The percentage column is the percentage reduction in loads from natural to current flow conditions. 124

Scientific publications and presentations arising from this thesis

Publications:

Southwell, M. R., Foster, J. M., Lenon, E, and Thoms, M. C. (2004). The accumulation of organic matter and the influence of geomorphic variability along the Barwon-Darling River, Australia. *Proceeding of the 4th Australian Stream Management Conference, Launceston, Tasmania: Tasmania Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment: 542 - 547.*

Southwell, M. R. and Thoms, M. C. (2006). A gradient or a mosaic of patches? The textural character of inset-floodplain surfaces along a dryland river system. *Proceeding of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Dundee: IAHS publication 306: 487-495.*

Conference Presentations:

4th Australian Stream management Conference – 19th – 22nd October 2004, Launceston, Australia. Titled: *The accumulation of organic matter and the influence of geomorphic variability along the Barwon-Darling River, Australia.*

36th Binghamton Geomorphology Symposium, 7th – 9th October 2005, Buffalo, United States of America. Titled: *Nutrient dynamics of inset floodplain sediments and the influence of inundation.*

International Association of Hydrological Sciences (IAHS) - 3rd-7th July 2006, Dundee, Scotland. Title: *A gradient or a mosaic of patches? The textural character of inset-floodplain surfaces along a dryland river system*

The 10th International Symposium on Regulated Streams (TISORSII) – 14th – 18th August 2006. Stirling, Scotland. Titled: *Nutrient patterns on inset-floodplain surfaces of a dry land river*