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Objective: Active Surveillance (AS) is a strategy for low risk localised prostate cancer where active treatment is delayed to reduce overtreatment and spare patients from treatment-related side effects. Clinical trials have demonstrated consistently the beneficial effects of exercise in prostate cancer survivors both during and after medical treatment. However, so far no study has looked at the effects of an exercise intervention alone in men undergoing AS.

Methods: We initiated a randomised controlled pilot study to determine the feasibility and effects of a combined aerobic and resistance training in prostate cancer patients on AS. In an exploratory analysis of 8 participants, we examined patients’ physical and mental health outcomes after exercising three times per week for 3 months.

Results: Patients in the intervention group (n = 5) improved their body size/composition, physical fitness and psychological distress, in particular with regard to waist circumference, leg strength and depression. Patients in the control group (n = 3) increased their waist circumference and showed less or no improvements with regard to physical fitness and mental health outcomes.

Conclusions: Only recently the idea has emerged to initiate exercise interventions prior to medical treatment. Lifestyle interventions and our first observations suggest promising effects of exercise during AS. Interventions that decrease psychological distress and possibly inhibit tumour progression can play a key role in AS adherence and delay of active treatment. This preliminary data is limited; however a subsequent randomised controlled trial with a larger sample size is in preparation.
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Objective: Performing pelvic floor muscle exercises (PFME) may improve patients’ return to urinary continence following radical prostatectomy, however many men find these difficult. This study investigated the use of an animated pelvic floor model to see if it improved men’s understanding of the pelvic floor, and their ability to correctly perform a pelvic floor contraction.

Methods: Ethics approval was obtained from Epworth HealthCare and Royal Melbourne Hospital HREC. Sixty patients from 6 private urology practices, and one public outpatient department, diagnosed with prostate cancer, and scheduled for radical prostatectomy, were recruited. Patients were randomised to receive usual care (verbal and written instruction followed by continence physiotherapist instruction with a prostate cancer DVD), or usual care with the addition of viewing the animated pelvic floor model. Patients completed questionnaires (EPIC-26) to assess continence, a Study Diary to record viewing the study material and PFME performance, and a Satisfaction with PFME Information Received questionnaire. The EPIC-26 questionnaire was sent out for completion again at 1 and 3 months post-operatively. Patients underwent pelvic floor assessment by a blinded continence physiotherapist to assess their ability to correctly perform PFME, before undergoing usual training.

Results: Nine patients withdrew from the study leaving 51 evaluable patients. Groups did not differ for age, and EPIC-26 scores. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups ability to correctly perform PFME when assessed by digital rectal examination and transperineal ultrasound $\chi^2 (1) = 0.53$, $P = 0.58$. Ninety-five percent of patients viewing the animated pelvic floor model found the information provided “Extremely easy” or “Quite easy” to understand. There were no significant differences in mean urinary incontinence scores at 3 months.

Conclusions: Despite the negative findings this animated pelvic floor model may
assist clinicians in educating patients about PFME, especially where there is limited or no access to continence physiotherapist assessment and instruction.
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Introduction/Background: Prostate cancer is the most common male cancer, with increasingly longer survival, and many treatment options for advanced disease. Men with prostate cancer report a high level of unmet supportive care needs.

Aims: To evaluate the unmet supportive care needs of a small cohort of Australian men with advanced prostate cancer, and their partners, and to assess the impact of attendance at a multidisciplinary allied health clinic on meeting these needs.

Methods: Ethics approval for the study was obtained from Epworth HealthCare HREC. Patients were referred to the clinic by their treating specialists where they saw a prostate nurse, a psycho-oncologist and a GP. Prior to their clinic consultations 50 patients and 35 partners completed study questionnaires to determine their unmet needs, prostate cancer and treatment related quality of life, levels of anxiety and depression, exercise patterns and prostate cancer related functional status. Questionnaires were completed again 1 month post-clinic attendance, and pre-and post-clinic scores were compared.

Results: Descriptive statistics were used to summarise the patient cohort. Patients reported unmet needs in several domains including psychological and sexuality needs, despite being well into their disease trajectory, and having often been under the care of more than one prostate cancer health care practitioner. These domains showed a small reduction when assessed post-clinic. Partners also reported needs in the psychological and emotional, and information domains. Thirty-two percent of patients reported clinical levels of distress prior to their clinic attendance, with 8% reporting the same level of distress 1 month post-clinic.

Conclusion: The identified high levels of unmet needs and levels of distress of this group highlights the necessity for additional resources to assist these men and their partners. A multidisciplinary allied health care prostate clinic as described here may further assist in the comprehensive care of these men and their partners, helping them to address their unmet needs.